How Technological Innovation Reshapes the Paradigm of Disease Prediction and Treatment

Haochen Xu

Abstract:

This paper adopts a macro perspective spanning the philosophy of technology and the history of medicine to systematically argue that the technological innovation cluster—comprising big data, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology—has disrupted the traditional medical paradigm. We first deconstruct the old paradigm characterized by "reactive diagnosis and treatment, standardized protocols, and physician-centered care" along with its inherent limitations. We then delve into the synergistic disruptive mechanisms generated by the technology cluster through three dimensions: "data-driven cognition," "intelligent algorithms reshaping decision-making," and "biotechnology redefining interventions." This reveals three defining features of the new paradigm: At the practice level, it enables precision prediction and dynamic intervention based on individualized data; At the agency level, it establishes a collaborative relationship among physicians, AI, and patients. The study also highlights the new paradigm's ethical challenges, including data privacy and algorithmic fairness, providing crucial insights for understanding contemporary medical transformation and planning future healthcare systems.

Keywords: Paradigm shift; Precision medicine; Artificial intelligence; Digital technology

1. Introduction

The history of medicine is a cumulative development of technical methods, as well as a chronicle of "paradigm shifts" where foundational cognitive frameworks, practical norms, and value systems undergo fundamental transformations. The trajectory of medical history clearly reveals several structural shifts in dominant paradigms. Ancient medical paradigms generally embraced a naive form of holism and vitalism [1]. For instance, Traditional Chinese Medicine's "correspondence between heaven and humanity" and Hippocrates' "four humors theory" viewed the human body as a microcosm intimately connected to the natural environment and cosmic stars, where disease stemmed from internal systemic imbalance.

The modern medical revolution, originating in the Renaissance, marked the rise of the biomedical model centered on reductionism and mechanistic principles. Under this paradigm, the human body was dissected into independent organs, tissues, and cells, while disease was attributed to deviations in specific biological variables like pathogens or genetic mutations. Diagnosis and treatment consequently became highly specialized and localized, forming the solid foundation of modern medicine for centuries. Thus, from the holistic medical perspective established by Hippocrates in ancient Greece, to the "empirical turn" sparked by Vesalius' anatomy in the 17th century, and finally to the biomedical paradigm consolidated by Koch's postulates establishing the "bacterial theory of disease" in the 19th century, each paradigm shift profoundly reshaped humanity's boundaries of understanding and frontiers of practice regarding life, health, and disease.

Today, we find ourselves immersed in an even more profound silent revolution driven by clusters of cutting-edge technologies. The core impetus behind this paradigm shift stems from the emergence of a tightly coupled, synergistic technology cluster comprising big data, artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and advanced biotechnologies [2]. These clusters form an interdependent, positive-feedback innovation ecosystem. Continuous streams of vital sign data generated by IoT sensors provide raw material for big data analytics; AI algorithms extract complex patterns and predictive insights beyond human intuition; while biotechnologies like gene editing and cell therapies translate these insights into unprecedented precision interventions. The internal interactions and collective efficacy of these technology clusters systematically undermine the epistemological foundations and practical methodologies of the biomedical paradigm, fundamentally reshaping its destiny with global and structural implications. The synergistic effects of this technology cluster exhibit three distinct characteristics: First, it transcends traditional disciplinary boundaries, forming a new research paradigm at the intersection of medicine and engineering [3]. Second, it alters the spatio-temporal structure of healthcare services, enabling continuous management from hospital settings to the entire life cycle. Third, it reconfigures the doctor-patient relationship, transforming patients from passive recipients into co-decision makers. Thus, the breadth and depth of transformation driven by technology clusters urgently demand systematic examination through the theoretical lens of "paradigm."

We will systematically address the following core questions through a progressive line of reasoning: What exactly constitutes the traditional disease prediction and treatment paradigm? How are current technology clusters systematically and fundamentally subverting this paradigm? What are the core characteristics of the emerging new paradigm? We will define the traditional medical paradigm—marked by reactive diagnosis and treatment, standardized protocols, and physician-centered care—and dissect its inherent theoretical and practical dilemmas. Subsequently, we will delve into the synergistic mechanisms of the aforementioned technology clusters, revealing how they subvert the old paradigm by reconstructing three core medical processes: cognition, decision-making, and intervention. Building upon this foundation, we will prospectively outline and construct a blueprint for a new paradigm characterized by forward-looking prediction, precision and dynamic treatment, and human-machine collaborative decision-making. Finally, we will summarize and reflect on the ethical, social, and philosophical challenges triggered by this paradigm revolution, aiming to safeguard the humanistic core of medicine in an era of rapid technological advancement.

By introducing the analytical framework of "paradigm revolution," this study integrates the discrete technological advances of big data, AI, and biotechnology into a coherent theoretical narrative. It reveals the intrinsic logic and driving mechanisms behind the evolution of the medical paradigm from passive response to active intervention. This enables them to proactively adapt to and lead this historic transformation in clinical practice, research planning, and health policy design, avoiding the pitfalls of path dependency. From a broader historical perspective, amid increasingly complex global public health challenges and mounting pressures on healthcare system sustainability, a clear-eyed recognition and deep analysis of this paradigm revolution is not only key to seizing the historical opportunities of technological advancement but also an imperative for proactively addressing its accompanying ethical dilemmas and building a more efficient, equitable, and humanistic future healthcare system.

2. Relevant Theory and Technical Foundations

2.1 Historical Limitations and Contemporary Challenges of Reactive Diagnostic and Therapeutic Logic

Traditional medical paradigms adhere to a deeply rooted reactive diagnostic and therapeutic logic, characterized by a passive "wait-for-illness" approach. This model strictly relies on the explicit manifestation of clinical symptoms or signs before initiating medical intervention [4]. Thus, diagnosis essentially constitutes a retrospective confirmation of established pathological facts, while therapeutic

measures primarily focus on correcting physiological dysfunctions that have already occurred. This diagnostic-therapeutic logic is deeply rooted in modern medicine's taxonomic and etiological theoretical frameworks [5]. While demonstrating significant efficacy in addressing acute infectious diseases and typical organic disorders, its inherent passivity and lag become glaringly apparent when confronting chronic noncommunicable diseases. Chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disorders, diabetes, and cancer often feature prolonged subclinical progression phases, and reactive logic invariably misses the critical intervention window for these conditions. This fundamental mismatch leads to inefficient utilization of healthcare resources and constrains modern medicine's paradigm shift from disease treatment to health maintenance.

2.2 Population Optimization of Standardized Treatment Protocols and Individual Disempowerment

Evidence-based medicine, grounded in clinical guidelines derived from large-scale randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews, strives to provide statistically significant average optimal solutions (one-size-fits-all) for specific disease cohorts. Standardized treatment protocols have been elevated to the core of the traditional paradigm, playing a significant role in enhancing the homogeneity of healthcare quality and reducing variability in clinical practice. However, existing literature profoundly reveals their inherent limitations [6]. The standardized paradigm simplifies individuals—each possessing unique genetic backgrounds, epigenetic characteristics, microbiome compositions, lifestyles, and psychosocial environments—into homogeneous disease carriers. This approach inevitably leads to systemic neglect of individual heterogeneity while pursuing group-level optimization. For instance, pharmacogenomics demonstrates that individual variations in drug metabolism enzyme gene polymorphisms, such as those in the CYP450 enzyme system, can result in starkly different efficacy and safety profiles for the same standardized treatment regimen [7]. This systemic loss of voice for individuality may expose some patients to the risks of ineffective treatment or inappropriate side effects, reflecting at a deeper level the theoretical poverty of the traditional paradigm in addressing human biological complexity and disease heterogeneity. As medicine advances toward precision healthcare, decision-making models grounded in population averages have become a theoretical bottleneck constraining further improvements in healthcare quality.

2.3 Theoretical Deconstruction and Contemporary Reflection on Physician-Centric Power

Structures

From a medical sociology perspective, traditional doctor-patient relationships are built upon dual foundations of professional knowledge monopoly and institutional authority, forming a quintessential paternalistic power structure. On one hand, healthcare practitioners wield technical capital derived from specialized training; on the other, the legitimacy conferred by the medical system grants them dominant discourse and final decision-making authority throughout the diagnostic process [8]. This asymmetrical relationship often relegates patients to a passive, dependent, and compliant position of vulnerability. Their subjective illness narratives and personal value preferences are systematically marginalized in the face of objective medical evidence and technical authority.

Foucault's theory of the medical gaze reveals a cognitive mechanism that objectifies the patient subject. By transforming lived patient experiences into classifiable, quantifiable pathological signs through a unidirectional examination process, modern medicine constructed its knowledge system. While this ensured the efficiency and authoritative execution of medical decisions during specific historical phases, it also reproduced power inequalities between doctors and patients, suppressing patient autonomy and participation in healthcare [9]. With the establishment of the biopsychosocial medical model and the rise of patient rights movements, irreconcilable value conflicts have emerged between traditional power structures and core contemporary medical principles such as patient empowerment and shared decision-making.

2.4 Literature Review

The traditional medical paradigm, founded on reductionist philosophy and the biomedical model, is encountering profound systemic challenges stemming from its inherent logic. First, the traditional specialized diagnostic and treatment system artificially compartmentalizes diseases across different organ systems. When confronting complex chronic diseases like cancer and metabolic syndrome—characterized by multiple causative factors and multisystem interactions—the linear causal thinking underlying conventional medical approaches proves inadequate. Second, the traditional healthcare system exhibits pronounced structural inadequacies in responding to this demand transformation. Its reactive diagnostic-treatment logic fails to meet precision prevention needs, standardized treatment protocols struggle to achieve true personalized medicine, and physician-centric decision-making runs counter to the concept of actively engaged health consumers. Therefore, the impetus for transforming the current healthcare paradigm stems not only from external

technological advances but is deeply rooted in the inherent flaws of the traditional model itself. Its reductive epistemological limitations, methodological standardization dilemmas.

3. The Disruptive Impact of Technological Clusters

3.1 Epistemological Revolution in the Data Dimension

Traditional medical data collection, constrained by technical means and cost limitations, primarily relies on sampling surveys, periodic testing, and patient self-reports—an epistemological approach that generalizes from partial to the whole. The resulting knowledge is built on statistical inference, inevitably prone to sampling errors and selection biases. The deep integration of medical big data and IoT technologies is triggering a paradigm shift in cognition, replacing the traditional statistical paradigm reliant on sampling surveys and intermittent testing with a panoramic cognitive model based on comprehensive data. On one hand, modern IoT technologies—through wearable devices, mobile healthcare, and continuous monitoring—enable real-time, dynamic, and continuous collection of individual physiological parameters, generating a novel dynamic stream of life data. This transforms health visualization from discrete snapshots into continuous imagery, achieving full digital mapping of individual physiological processes [10]. This marks a fundamental shift in medical cognition—from probabilistic inferences based on partial knowledge to deterministic understanding grounded in comprehensive data, and from fragmented comprehension of disease phenomena to holistic grasp of health states. On the other hand, the core achievement of this transformation lies in integrating genomic, proteomic, metabolomic, clinical data, behavioral data, and other multidimensional information to construct dynamic digital models in virtual space that closely correspond to physical life systems. These models not only statically reflect an individual's biological characteristics but also dynamically simulate physiological processes, predict disease risks, and evaluate intervention effects. This achieves an epistemological leap from sample inference to holographic simulation, shifting medical research from a "average person" model based on population statistics to a "precise person" model grounded in individual characteristics.

The transformation of the data paradigm is redefining the essence and generation of medical knowledge. Traditional medical knowledge primarily stemmed from inductive reasoning and abstraction of population data, manifested in clinical guidelines and diagnostic protocols. Under the new paradigm, medical knowledge encompasses universal principles alongside dynamic cognitive and predictive capabilities tailored to specific individuals. This propels medicine from an empirical science grounded in population patterns toward a "precision science" based on individual data, signifying a fundamental shift in medical cognition from partial inference to holistic understanding.

3.2 The Cognitive Revolution in Decision-Making

Deep learning-based artificial intelligence systems can extract complex features and hidden patterns from massive amounts of heterogeneous medical data, demonstrating exceptional capabilities in handling high-dimensional, nonlinear medical problems. This has triggered a fundamental shift in cognitive paradigms across multiple medical fields. In medical imaging analysis, diagnosis relies on radiologists' subjective interpretation of two-dimensional images, influenced by their experience level, attention state, and visual perception limitations. AI algorithms, however, can identify microscopic imaging patterns at the pixel level that are imperceptible to the human eye yet potentially linked to specific pathological conditions, transcending traditional human visual perception boundaries. For instance, in lung cancer screening, AI systems can detect obvious lung nodules while also identifying subtle textural alterations in seemingly normal lung tissue associated with early-stage carcinogenesis [11]. This shifts disease diagnosis from reliance on macroscopic morphological features to predictive judgments based on microscopic image patterns, significantly enhancing the detection rate of early lesions. In drug discovery, traditional approaches rely heavily on extensive experimental screening and rational design based on molecular structures—a time-consuming, labor-intensive process with low success rates. AI-driven virtual screening methods, however, learn from known drug-target interaction patterns to predict the activity and toxicity of new compounds, dramatically accelerating lead compound discovery. More profoundly, AI systems can uncover novel drug targets and disease mechanisms by analyzing vast amounts of biomedical data. In clinical decision support, traditional approaches primarily rely on evidence-based medicine's population-level evidence and individual physician experience. AI systems, however, can simultaneously consider a patient's genomic characteristics, clinical manifestations, environmental factors, and behavioral data to generate truly personalized treatment plans. This shifts medical decision-making from "standardized decisions" based on population patterns to "precision decisions" grounded in individual characteris-

tics.

Traditional medical cognition relies heavily on physicians' accumulated experience and pattern recognition abilities, exhibiting significant subjectivity and uncertainty. In contrast, AI systems operate on a data-driven cognitive model, offering superior objectivity, reproducibility, and verifiability. This transforms medical decision-making from an "art" dependent on personal experience into a "science" grounded in data, achieving a paradigm shift in the diagnostic process from subjective judgment to objective analysis.

3.3 Therapeutic Revolution in Intervention Dimensions

Traditional medical interventions primarily target the macro-level manifestations of disease at the organ and tissue levels, employing drugs or surgery for functional repair or symptom relief. Emerging biotechnologies, however, advance treatment to the molecular and cellular levels through precise regulation, achieving a paradigm shift from "palliative care" to "curative intervention." Traditional drug therapies primarily target disease symptoms or pathological processes, whereas gene editing intervenes directly at the genetic root cause—most notably in treating single-gene hereditary disorders. For instance, in hemoglobinopathies like beta-thalassemia, gene editing can directly correct mutations in hematopoietic stem cells, restoring normal hemoglobin synthesis and achieving fundamental cure. In cellular immunotherapy, approaches like CAR-T modify a patient's own immune cells through engineering, endowing them with the ability to specifically recognize and eliminate tumor cells. This activates and enhances the body's innate immune system to combat disease, reflecting a philosophical shift in medical intervention from external attack to internal enhancement.

Technological breakthroughs represent progress in therapeutic methods, profoundly altering the fundamental logic of medical intervention. Traditional medical interventions primarily alleviate clinical symptoms by suppressing pathological processes, following an "inhibit-relieve" logic. Emerging biotechnologies, however, restore normal function by correcting biological errors, adhering to a "correct-rebuild" logic. This fundamental shift in logic extends the temporal scope of medical intervention from acute-phase short-term treatment to lifelong long-term management, transforming outcomes from temporary relief to permanent cure.

3.4 Synergistic Effects of Technology Clusters: Systemic Reshaping of Medical Paradigms

Technological innovations across three dimensions— epistemology, methodology, and practice—form a self-reinforcing technological ecosystem through deep coupling and functional integration. This accelerates development across various technical fields, giving rise to entirely new medical research paradigms and practice models. Their systemic impact far exceeds the sum of individual technologies' effects.

Within this ecosystem, patients, physicians, researchers, and technology developers are tightly interconnected through digital platforms. The production, dissemination, and application of medical knowledge occur at unprecedented speed and precision, transforming not only the delivery of healthcare services but also redefining the essential characteristics of medicine as both a knowledge system and a social practice.

The paradigm shift in medicine driven by technology innovation clusters exhibits three distinct characteristics: First, the new paradigm achieves a fundamental transition from empirical induction to data-driven approaches, and from fragmented understanding to systemic comprehension. Second, it completes a comprehensive upgrade from standardized treatments to personalized precision interventions. Third, it propels a profound transformation from unilateral physician decision-making to human-machine collaborative governance. This paradigm revolution, driven by technology innovation clusters, influences the reconstruction of medicine's philosophical foundations at the technological level. On one hand, it breaks down traditional disciplinary barriers in medicine, fostering deep integration across biology, engineering, informatics, and other fields, thereby spawning new interdisciplinary research domains and methodological frameworks. On the other hand, it alters the fundamental approach to disease understanding. Through comprehensive monitoring and precise prediction of disease dynamics, it propels medicine forward from treating existing illnesses to preventing them before they occur. Furthermore, it reconfigures the doctor-patient relationship.

4. The Emergence and Construction of New Paradigm

4.1 Paradigm Shift in Core Philosophy: From Disease Treatment to Health Management

The foremost characteristic of the emerging medical paradigm is a fundamental leap in core philosophy— transitioning from a disease-centered treatment model to a health-centered, full-cycle management approach. This signifies a profound transformation in the philosophical foundation of medicine: its objective is no longer confined

to repair and remediation after disease onset, but shifts upstream to the maintenance, promotion, and optimization of health status. Under this paradigm, the states of "pre-disease" and "imminent disease" become the core focus of medical attention, with health viewed as a dynamic, quantifiable, and intervenable continuum. This conceptual leap is grounded in the deep integration of systems biology and preventive medicine, achieved through the comprehensive analysis of individual multi-omics data, environmental exposure profiles, and behavioral data. This approach reveals the continuous dynamic process of health transitioning into disease, identifying critical junctures and intervention opportunities. In practice, the shift from disease treatment to health management manifests as the advancement and personalization of health management. Based on an individual's genetic background, lifestyle, and environmental characteristics, personalized health maintenance plans are developed. Through continuous monitoring and dynamic interventions, these plans maximize healthy lifespan while minimizing the disease phase. Thus, the paradigm shift from "treating disease" to "managing health" not only redefines medicine's mission but also reconfigures the temporal and spatial boundaries of healthcare services. This expansion extends beyond acute interventions within hospitals to encompass continuous health management throughout the entire life cycle.

4.2 Characteristics of the Predictive Paradigm: "Proactive Awareness" and Individualized Risk Navigation

The core feature of the predictive paradigm lies in its shift from population probability prediction to individual precision prediction, achieving an epistemological leap from "reactive awareness" to "proactive foresight." Specifically, the predictive paradigm leverages deep mining and dynamic analysis of massive individual data, synergistically integrating multi-omics technologies, continuous monitoring devices, and artificial intelligence algorithms to construct highly spatiotemporally resolved personalized risk profiles.

The construction of personalized risk profiles relies on integrating and interpreting multidimensional biological information—including genomic, epigenomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data—combined with environmental exposures, lifestyle factors, and clinical indicators. This yields a multidimensional, dynamic assessment of an individual's health status. Personalized risk evaluation encompasses current health conditions and quantifies future health risks through time-series data analysis and machine learning prediction models. As a key tool in predictive paradigms, "digital twin" technology enables dynamic simulation of health trajectories and prospective evaluation of intervention outcomes by constructing virtual biological models of individuals. Physicians and patients can utilize digital twin platforms to virtually test different prevention strategies, optimize intervention plans, and achieve truly personalized precision prevention based on individual characteristics.

4.3 Characteristics of the Therapeutic Paradigm: Precision, Dynamism, and Participatory Intervention

The therapeutic paradigm's innovation manifests in three defining characteristics: precision, dynamism, and participatory intervention. This signifies a fundamental shift in medical intervention from standardized, static, physician-led models toward personalized, adaptive, and physician-patient collaborative approaches. Precision treatment is grounded in the systematic identification of individual biomarkers and targeted interventions, using molecular characteristics such as genomic and proteomic profiles to guide therapeutic strategy selection, ensuring interventions precisely match individual biological traits. Dynamic treatment relies on continuous monitoring technologies and real-time data analysis. By persistently tracking treatment responses and physiological parameter changes, it transforms fixed "prescription sets" into adjustable "algorithmic models." The system automatically adjusts drug dosages, treatment intensities, or intervention strategies, achieving closed-loop optimization throughout the therapeutic process. The adaptive adjustment mechanism of dynamic treatment significantly enhances therapeutic safety and efficacy, demonstrating substantial advantages particularly in chronic disease management and oncology—areas requiring long-term interventions.

Participatory interventions, enabled by health information technology and shared decision-making tools, empower patients to transition from passive recipients to active participants in their care. They gain access to their health data, understand treatment principles, and actively contribute to setting treatment goals and selecting therapeutic approaches. This participatory model not only improves treatment adherence but fundamentally reshapes the value of care—transforming it from a purely technical intervention into a collaborative process grounded in shared values.

4.4 Characteristics of the Therapeutic Paradigm: Precision, Dynamism, and Participatory Intervention

The restructuring of medical power dynamics under the new paradigm manifests as a profound shift from tradi-

tional "paternalistic" hierarchical structures to "collaborative" networked frameworks. The tripartite relationship between physician, AI, and patient forms an equal partnership based on complementary expertise and information access. This evolution not only alters the specific processes of medical decision-making but also fundamentally reshapes how medical knowledge is generated, disseminated, and applied. First, physicians are transitioning from authoritative figures to integrative specialists. Under the traditional model, doctors monopolized medical decision-making through their expertise. In the new paradigm, physicians must synthesize AI-driven data analysis, patient preferences, and their own clinical experience to arrive at optimal decisions. This requires not only medical knowledge but also new competencies in data interpretation, risk assessment, and communication coordination. Second, patients have been empowered by technology to evolve from passive recipients to active participants. By accessing personal health data, utilizing decision-support tools, and engaging in online health communities, patients gain informational access and decision-making capacity. This empowerment process alters the information asymmetry between doctors and patients, fundamentally redefining the essence of healthcare services—shifting from unilateral imposition by professional authority to a collaborative relationship based on shared decision-making. Finally, AI systems, with their powerful data processing and pattern recognition capabilities, emerge as new actors within the healthcare decision-making network. Through human-machine collaboration, they optimize decision quality and provide decision support for both physicians and patients. Within this tripartite collaborative network, the legitimacy of medical decisions shifts from relying solely on professional authority to an organic integration of expert judgment, data evidence, and personal values. Consequently, the power structure in healthcare exhibits a democratizing and diversifying trend.

5. Conclusion

Contemporary medicine is undergoing a profound paradigm revolution driven by technological innovation. The core characteristics of this paradigm shift can be summarized as a fundamental transition from the traditional medical paradigm marked by "reactivity, standardization, and centralization" to a new medical paradigm characterized by "proactivity, precision, and collaboration." At the epistemological level, this manifests as a shift from symptom-based passive cognition to data-driven proactive insight. Methodologically, it involves transitioning from group-oriented standardized protocols to personalized precision interventions. Practically, it reflects a move from

physician-dominated hierarchical structures toward human-machine collaborative networked ecosystems.

This paradigm shift is driven by the synergistic convergence of innovation clusters encompassing big data, artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and biotechnology. Through deep coupling and functional integration, these technological clusters form disruptive forces with emergent properties, systematically deconstructing the theoretical foundations of traditional medicine. Specifically, breakthroughs in data science enable holistic digital twins, AI technologies reshape the cognitive foundations of medical decision-making, and biotechnologies like gene editing redefine the boundaries of disease intervention. These technological transformations collectively give rise to a new medical paradigm ecosystem. Within this ecosystem, patients, physicians, researchers, and technology developers are tightly interconnected through digital platforms, fundamentally altering how medical knowledge is produced, disseminated, and applied.

Notably, this paradigm revolution signifies not only technological advancement but also a restructuring of medical philosophy. The goal of medicine has expanded from disease treatment to health management; the temporal and spatial boundaries of healthcare services have shifted from intermittent interventions within hospitals to continuous care spanning the entire life cycle; and the essence of the doctor-patient relationship has transformed from authority-dependent to partnership-based. These shifts establish new theoretical foundations and practical pathways for medical development.

However, the advancement of this new paradigm also faces significant technological divides and profound ethical dilemmas. First, data privacy and security challenges pose primary obstacles. The collection and utilization of individual health data throughout its entire lifecycle provide the foundation for precision medicine, yet also carry potential risks of privacy breaches. Second, algorithmic bias and technological divides may exacerbate healthcare inequalities. If training data for machine learning models fails to adequately represent population diversity, it can lead to algorithmic underperformance among specific groups, creating structural discrimination. Simultaneously, the high cost of new technologies may erect fresh barriers, preventing resource-constrained regions and socioeconomically disadvantaged groups from equally benefiting from technological advancements—ultimately worsening healthcare equity. Moreover, the attribution of responsibility for AI-driven decisions creates a legal and ethical gray area. When medical decisions involve collaboration among physicians, patients, and AI systems, determining liability for errors becomes exceptionally complex, urgently requiring new principles for responsibility alloca-

tion and regulatory frameworks.

In this profound paradigm shift, we must embrace the transformative power of technology while remaining vigilant against the erosion of medical humanism by technological rationality. Striking a dynamic equilibrium between technological innovation and humanistic care will guide medicine toward greater equity and compassion, ultimately advancing the fundamental goal of enhancing human health and well-being.

References

[1] Elwyn, G., Frosch, D., Thomson, R., Joseph-Williams, N., Lloyd, A., Kinnersley, P., ... & Barry, M. (2012). Shared decision making: a model for clinical practice. Journal of general internal medicine, 27(10), 1361-1367.

[2] Bodenheimer, T., Chen, E., & Bennett, H. D. (2009). Confronting the growing burden of chronic disease: can the US health care workforce do the job?. Health affairs, 28(1), 64-74.

[3] Ali, S., Qadri, Y. A., Ahmad, K., Lin, Z., Leung, M. F., Kim, S. W., ... & Zhou, T. (2025). Large Language Models in Genomics—A Perspective on Personalized Medicine. Bioengineering, 12(5), 440.

[4] Evans, W. E., & Relling, M. V. (2004). Moving towards individualized medicine with pharmacogenomics. Nature, 429(6990), 464-468.

[5] Hood, L., Brogaard, K. R., Price, N. D., & Flores, M. A. (2013). Systems medicine and the emergence of proactive P4 medicine: predictive, preventive, personalized and participatory. In Handbook of Systems Biology (pp. 445-467).

[6] Jameson, J. L., & Longo, D. L. (2015). Precision medicine—personalized, problematic, and promising. Obstetrical & gynecological survey, 70(10), 612-614.

[7] Schork, N. J. (2015). Personalized medicine: time for one-person trials. Nature, 520(7549), 609-611.

[8] Steinhubl, S. R., Muse, E. D., & Topol, E. J. (2015). The emerging field of mobile health. Science translational medicine, 7(283), 283rv3-283rv3.

[9] Istepanian, R. S. (2022). Mobile health (m-Health) in retrospect: the known unknowns. International journal of environmental research and public health, 19(7), 3747.

[10] Sweileh, W. M., Al-Jabi, S. W., AbuTaha, A. S., Zyoud, S. E. H., Anayah, F. M., & Sawalha, A. F. (2017). Bibliometric analysis of worldwide scientific literature in mobile-health: 2006–2016. BMC medical informatics and decision making, 17(1), 72.

[11] Topol, E. (2019). Deep medicine: how artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again. Hachette UK.