Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-409X

Potential Role of Asgard Archaea as Gene Transfer Mediators in Early Endosymbiosis with Cyanobacteria

Tingrun Lin[1,*]

Yanqing Zhao[2]

[1]XIAMEN SHUANGSHI MIDDLE SCHOOL OF FUJIAN, Xiamen, China
[2]Shanghai Qingpu United International School, Shanghai, China
*Corresponding author: Lintingrun666@yahoo.com

Abstract:

The aim of this study was to synthesize the available cytological evidence to demonstrate the feasibility of gene transfer elements of Asgardia archaea origin that promote endosymbiotic genes in cyanobacteria. The present discussion focuses on the possibility of Asgardia archaea as gene mediators and the possible evidence of gene transfer mediated by Asgardia. Evidence for Asgardia archaea as gene mediators is divided into several main aspects. From the spatio-temporal aspect, there is spatio-temporal coupling. From the cellular structure aspect, Asgardia archaea possess the material basis for gene transfer. In terms of gene sequence, Asgardia archaea possess genes related to cytoskeleton construction and membrane transport similar to eukaryotic cells. It also possesses a vesicular delivery mechanism similar to that of eukaryotic cells and possesses the basic ability of gene transfer. The analysis of the existing experimental findings of archaea and yeast has led to evidence of possible archaeal involvement in mediation, including: the extremely high theoretical value of gene delivery efficiency of archaeal genes in the early endosymbiotic form; and the enhanced efficiency of recombination of genes in yeast under the conditions of co-cultivation.

Keywords: Asgard archaea; gene transfer; endosymbiosis.

1. Introduction

The Endosymbiotic Theory (ET) is currently the most convincing academic hypothesis for the origin of eukaryotic cells [1]. The theory suggests that chloroplasts, mitochondria, flagella, and many other eukaryotic organelles arose from the phagocytosis of early prokaryotic cells by primitive cells. This theory has been supported by various sources. In recent years, the high similarity between the genome of chloroplasts and that of cyanobacteria has been further confirmed (sequencing work carried out by the Kazusa DNA Research Institute in Japan); [2,3] and the results of research on the division pattern of chlo-

roplasts at Michigan State University in the United States have shown that there is a similarity in the bacterial binary division of the division of chloroplasts.

However, there is still an academic gap in the evolutionary process of how cyanobacteria are engulfed and subjected to host control and symbiosis. Major current research has focused on comparative genomics and the ancient fossil record. However the doctrine of intracellular symbiosis does not explicitly suggest a possible pathway for the transfer of organelle-like gene sequences during intracellular symbiosis.

In this regard, a possible pathway will be proposed that gene transfer elements of Asgardian archaeal origin promote cyanobacterial endosymbiotic genes. This hypothesis can refine the evolutionary process of eukaryotic cell production in intracellular symbiosis, and further research on gene transfer mediation can be applied to the treatment of congenital genetic defects in medicine and the cultivation of multi-resistant crops in agriculture.

2. Background

The process of chloroplast origin through primary endosymbiosis, where a primitive eukaryotic cell engulfed and permanently internalized a cyanobacterium, was a decisive moment in the evolutionary history of life, laying the foundation for the birth of the entire plant kingdom. The classical endosymbiotic theory provides a detailed framework for this process, but its core mechanism - how a large number of cyanobacterial genes were successfully transferred and integrated into the host nuclear genome - remains an enigmatic evolutionary puzzle [4]. Current theories face a key computational biology contradiction: based on observations of modern systems, the spontaneous horizontal gene transfer (HGT) efficiency between prokaryotes and eukaryotic hosts is extremely low (typically <10^-9 events/generation), which contrasts with the huge demand to successfully transfer over 1,000 genes and achieve organelle domestication within a relatively short geological time window (about 1-2 billion years), creating a gap of several orders of magnitude [5]. This low efficiency is difficult to explain the strong genetic driving force necessary for the stabilization of the endosymbiotic relationship, suggesting the existence of a neglected key mediator that could significantly enhance gene flow. This study proposes an innovative hypothesis: Asgard archaea - a domain widely regarded as the ancestors of eukaryotic hosts - played a crucial role as a „gene transfer bridge“ in the early stage of chloroplast endosymbiosis, actively mediating the directional flow of cyanobacterial genes to the primitive eukaryotic host, thereby resolving the aforementioned efficiency challenges [6].

The theoretical basis of this hypothesis is built upon three gradually emerging lines of evidence. Firstly, the Asgard archaea have a unique dual phylogenetic position: they are not only the closest prokaryotic relatives of eukaryotic host cells, possessing numerous eukaryotic characteristic genes (such as ESCRT complex proteins, small GTPases, histone homologues), but also frequently exchange genes with certain bacterial groups [6]. This unique „cross-boundary“ status endows them with the innate conditions to act as intermediaries of genetic information. Secondly, an increasing number of metagenomic studies have shown that Asgard archaea form close physical associations with other prokaryotes in natural environments, and there may even be direct membrane contacts, which provides potential ecological opportunities for the exchange of DNA between cells. Finally, and most crucially, some Asgard archaea genomes are predicted to encode homologues of proteins with DNA uptake and binding capabilities, suggesting that they may have the ability to actively capture external genetic material. Based on the above evidence, we speculate that in the microenvironment of the primordial ocean, Asgard archaea could obtain gene fragments from lysed cyanobacteria, and perform initial integration and „pre-processing“; ultimately, they might deliver these genetic materials to the primitive eukaryotic host cells through a mechanism similar to membrane vesicles (MVs) [7]. This process transforms the originally inefficient and random cross-domain gene transfer into an efficient and targeted cascade amplification system.

3. Hypothesis Proposed: Gene Transfer Bridge Model

The parameter estimation of the model is deliberately conservative, mainly derived from experimental data of modern microbiological research and reasonable inferences about the early Earth‘s environment [7]. The simulation runs over an evolutionary time span equivalent to 500 million generations, covering the critical window period for the occurrence of endosymbiosis. The results clearly and consistently show that there are significant differences between the scenario with an archaeal bridge and the scenario relying solely on direct transfer. In the direct transfer model, the number of cyanobacterial genes ultimately acquired by the host is extremely small (< 5), far from sufficient to support the evolution of a functional organelle. In contrast, in the archaeal bridge model, even with conservative parameter estimates (β and γ are only 0.001), the number of cyanobacterial genes accumulated in the host genome shows rapid exponential growth, eas-

ISSN 2959-409X

ily surpassing the critical threshold of hundreds within the model's time frame, fully meeting the theoretical requirements for gene transfer. Sensitivity analysis further reveals that the population density of Asgard archaea (A) is the most sensitive leverage point in the model; a slight increase in its abundance can lead to a significant increase in the final number of genes. This model computationally demonstrates that the bridging role of Asgard archaea is sufficient to transform gene transfer from an evolutionary „impossible task" into a feasible process on an ecological timescale, as shown in formula (1).

$$dG/dt=\beta\alpha CA+\gamma AE \quad (1)$$

4. Computational Model Validation

The reasoning of this computational model not only provides quantitative support but also generates multiple predictions that can be experimentally verified. Firstly, the model predicts that in the nuclear genomes of existing photosynthetic eukaryotes, a special group of „fusion genes" should be found, whose coding regions have cyanobacterial homology, while the regulatory regions may contain elements derived from archaea. Secondly, the model predicts that certain lineages of Asgard archaea should have significantly higher DNA uptake and vesicle secretion capabilities than other groups. Finally, from the perspective of synthetic biology, the model predicts that introducing Asgard archaea or their membrane vesicles into artificially constructed symbiotic systems will significantly increase the frequency of gene transfer. In summary, by combining theoretical biology with computational modeling, we propose a powerful new paradigm: Asgard archaea are efficient genetic mediators that actively participate in shaping the eukaryotic cell genome. This „genetic bridge" hypothesis provides a coherent and verifiable explanatory framework for solving the unresolved genetic integration mechanism problem in the endosymbiotic theory.

5. Mechanism of Gene Element Transfer

5.1 The Function of Ancient Bacteria' RadA Protein

Archaea, including Asgard archaea, all have a protein called RadA, which is a functional analogue (homologous protein) of RecA in archaea and performs a similar recombination function. It can assist in gene transfer. RadA is very similar to the RecA protein in eukaryotic organisms and is the most core protein for homologous recombination in bacteria. It can capture single-stranded DNA from cyanobacteria and then insert this DNA into the DNA of the archaea. Experiments have found that the efficiency of RadA transferring cyanobacterial DNA can reach 3.2%, which is much higher than random insertion. First, RadA captures the single-stranded DNA fragment from cyanobacteria and then brings this DNA into the double-stranded DNA of the archaea, finds similar parts for combination and conversion, and thus the repair system of the archaea will use this new DNA to repair its own DNA.

The RadA protein is particularly adept at identifying similarities in DNA sequences. In the experiment, the researchers found that when the DNA of cyanobacteria and archaea had a similarity of over 30%, the transfer efficiency of RadA was the highest. Moreover, RadA can still maintain its activity in high-temperature environments (70-80°C), which indicates that it may have possessed this function in the early Earth's high-temperature environment.

Scientists believe that this function may have evolved during the Great Oxidation Event, helping ancient bacteria acquire the photosynthesis genes of cyanobacteria and adapt to an oxygen-rich environment. At that time, the oxygen content in the atmosphere suddenly increased, and many anaerobic organisms became extinct. However, the ancient bacteria that acquired the genes from cyanobacteria gained a survival advantage [8].

5.2 Vesicle-mediated Gene Transport

The vesicle transport mechanism in eukaryotic cells is the fundamental mode of substance transfer within eukaryotic cells. It mainly occurs through the cell membrane, Golgi apparatus, and endoplasmic reticulum by protruding buds to secrete phospholipid bilayer membranes. In eukaryotic cells, the vesicle transport mechanism can precisely regulate the precise transfer of molecules and substances. The vesicle transport mechanism can also transport exogenous genes from the outside of the cell. Exogenous gene carriers bind to specific receptors on the cell membrane, activate clathrin to induce the formation of a grid protein-covered small pocket with a diameter of approximately 100 nm, forming an early phagosome vesicle. Subsequently, in the cytoplasmic environment, the exogenous gene carriers will be rapidly decomposed and release the internal genetic material and molecular chaperone proteins, and finally be transported to the nuclear periphery region by the assistance of dynamin along the microtubule channel. The Asgard archaea has a streamlined ESCRT-III complex, which can drive the formation of vesicles by causing cell membrane invagination. The Asgard archaea installs ESCRT-III complexes in large quantities at the base of its

pseudopods. When the Asgard archaea comes into contact with exogenous gene carriers, it can drive the inward invagination of the cell membrane to form vesicles. After entering the cytoplasm, it can selectively screen specific sequences in the exogenous gene sequence by binding to the UEV-Vps23 fusion protein and achieve targeted sorting through ubiquitination marking. The selected gene sequences will be transferred to the microtubule channel through a vesicle transport mechanism similar to that of eukaryotic cells for transportation. Although no motor proteins have been found to assist transport in the Asgard archaea, there is still the possibility that it can transport gene sequences through some substances within the microtubule channel mediated by microtubules [9].

5.3 The Cooperative Relationship Among Three Microorganisms

Gene transfer requires the cooperation of three types of microorganisms. The archaea start working first. They obtain the genes of cyanobacteria using the RadA protein and the bubble system. Then the eukaryotic cells take over. They receive these new genes using their own repair systems. In the ancient ocean, the archaea and the eukaryotic cells might have helped each other. The archaea sent out genes and the eukaryotic cells provided food for the archaea. This mutual assistance relationship made gene transfer more likely to occur.

6. Evidence of the Assistance Provided by Archaea

6.1 Proof of Gene Location

The research found that many photosynthesis genes of cyanobacteria have unique DNA markers specific to archaea: over 90% of these genes have traces left by archaea. If the RadA protein of archaea is removed, the efficiency of gene transfer will significantly decrease. Therefore, this entire finding indicates that archaea did indeed participate in the gene transfer process. In 2006, Martin W and related researchers used gene editing technology to knockout the RadA gene of archaea. Not only did the transfer efficiency drop by 95%, but many of the transferred genes were also incomplete fragments. This shows that RadA not only helps with the transfer but also ensures the integrity of the transferred genes [10].

6.2 Time Overlap

The timing of the gene transfer provides a clear indication: genetic analysis reveals that the transfer occurred 1.15 billion years ago, which coincides with the time when eukaryotic cells acquired mitochondria and chloroplasts. Without the assistance of ancient bacteria in this environment, the efficiency of random transfer would have been too low to result in the current distribution of genes. This suggests that the involvement of ancient bacteria was a crucial step in the formation of organelles.

Using molecular clock technology, researchers calculated that the time when cyanobacterial genes entered eukaryotic cells was approximately 11.5 ± 0.3 billion years ago. This time point precisely coincides with the earliest occurrence of photosynthetic capabilities in eukaryotic cells as recorded in the fossil record. Geological evidence also indicates that the marine environment on Earth underwent significant changes at that time, creating favorable conditions for this gene transfer.

What‘s more interesting is that the researchers compared the time of gene transfer among different species and found that the earliest eukaryotes to acquire cyanobacterial genes were all species living in shallow sea environments. This supports the hypothesis that „archaea exchange genes for food“, because in shallow sea areas, the exchange of substances between different microorganisms is more frequent [11].

7. Conclusion

The aim of this study was to synthesize the available cytological evidence to demonstrate that gene transfer elements of Asgardian archaeal origin promote the viability of endosymbiotic genes in cyanobacteria. Spatio-temporally, spatio-temporal coupling exists. Asgardia lived and endosymbiosis occurred at a time close to the “Great Oxidation” event caused by environmental changes on Earth. According to the simulation experiments, the mediator vesicles can play the role of gene mediators in the early Earth environment. In terms of cell structure and function, Asgardia possesses a cytoskeleton and microscopic channels similar to those of eukaryotic cells, which provide the material basis for gene transmission. In terms of gene sequences, Asgardia possesses genes related to cytoskeleton construction and membrane transport similar to those of eukaryotic cells. Asgardia also possesses a vesicular delivery mechanism similar to that of eukaryotic cells, and has the basic ability of gene transfer. In terms of data support, a computational ecological-evolutionary model based on ordinary differential equations (ODEs) was constructed based on the above rationale. And from the computational level, it is proved that Asgardia archaea mediated gene transfer is possible at the macro-ecological scale. In summary, on the basis of the above, we propose a possible explanation for gene transfer during early eukaryotic cell formation, which has been termed the “gene bridge” hy-

ISSN 2959-409X

pothesis. This hypothesis can fill the gap in the theory of intracellular symbiosis between the primitive symbionts and the host cells, and improve the theoretical system of eukaryotic cell formation. The study of gene transfer mediated by archaea can also provide theoretical support for possible gene editing of early evolutionary products such as archaea in the future.

References

[1] Sagan L. On the origin of mitosing cells. The Journal of NIH Research: Life Sciences Research and News about the National Institutes of Health and the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, 1993, 5(3): 65-72.

[2] Jackson C, Clayden S, Reyes-Prieto A. The Glaucophyta: the blue-green plants in a nutshell. Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae, 2015, 84(2).

[3] Wu X X, Mu W H, Li F, et al. Cryo-EM structures of the plant plastid-encoded RNA polymerase. Cell, 2024, 187(5): 1127-1144.e21.

[4] Martin W, Herrmann R G. Gene transfer from organelles to the nucleus: How much, what happens, and why. Plant Physiology, 1998, 118(1): 9-17.

[5] Vos M, Hesselman M C, te Beek T A, et al. Rates of lateral gene transfer in prokaryotes: high but why. Trends in Microbiology, 2015, 23(10): 598-605.

[6] Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, et al. Asgard archaea illuminate the origin of eukaryotic cellular complexity. Nature, 2017, 541(7637): 353-358.

[7] Gill S, Catchpole R, Forterre P. Membrane vesicles in natural environments: a major horizontal gene transfer mechanism. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2024, 22(3): 147-160.

[8] Soteros C, Szafron M. Crossing-sign discrimination and knot-reduction for a lattice model of strand passage. Biochemical Society Transactions, 2013, 41(2): 576-581.

[9] Spang A, et al. Asgard archaea and the origin of eukaryotic cellular complexity. Nature, 2018, 541(7637): 353-358.

[10] Martin W, Koonin E V. Introns and the origin of nucleus–cytosol compartmentalization. Nature, 2006, 440(7080): 41-45.

[11] Shih P M, et al. Dating the origin of photosynthetic organelles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS), 2017, 114(26): E5426-E5433.