

Coffee and Colonial Control in French Indochina

Henry Wu

Abstract:

This study examines the role of the coffee economy as an instrument of colonial power in French Indochina. It argues that the plantation system was not merely an economic venture but a comprehensive project of colonial control that reshaped the region's economy, society, and politics. The article analyzes the primary mechanisms of this control, including the legal expropriation of indigenous land through policies like *terres vacantes et sans maître* and the mobilization of a coerced workforce through the *corvée* and indenture systems (Durand 2008). The human cost of this system was immense; official reports from the 1920s reveal that annual mortality rates for indentured laborers on the largest estates ranged from 12% to an astonishing 47% (Panthou 2014). The study concludes that the very brutality of the plantation system ironically transformed it into a powerful symbol of oppression, fueling the anti-colonial movements that would ultimately challenge French rule in Vietnam.

Keywords: French Indochina, Colonialism, Coffee Plantations, Labor Exploitation, Land Alienation, Anti-colonial Resistance

Introduction

The French colonial policy of *mise en valeur*, or rational development, sought to make its Southeast Asian territories profitable for the metropole during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The policy's primary goal was to harness the colony's natural and human resources to serve French economic interests (Booth 2007). A central part of this mission involved the large-scale introduction of cash crops, with coffee emerging as particularly significant. The French administration targeted the fertile highlands of Tonkin and Annam as ideal locations for coffee

cultivation, especially for the robusta variety suited to the local climate (Maspul 2025). Coffee plantations became visible symbols of the colonial project, framed as modernization but designed for extraction and control (Booth 2007). In reality, the system was designed for colonial extraction and control, fundamentally altering the physical landscape and the lives of the region's inhabitants.

Coffee growing in Indochina must be seen as a conscious tool of the French expansionist project, one that carried out a threefold attack. Principally, this was an economic enterprise extracting wealth through the sequestration of Indigenous land and

the mobilization of coerced labor. Systems like indenture and *corvée*, often under harsh conditions, exploited both indentured workers and those subjected to *corvée* (Sutherland 2005). In addition to being simply economic, the plantation system was also a forceful social and political project. It reorganized local communities, established strict new colonial orders, and constructed infrastructure not to benefit local communities but to benefit French markets half a world away (Van Melkebeke 2016). The colonized, however, were bound to react to such an oppressive system. The gross exploitation left generations of resentment that the plantations eventually became crucibles of resistance and potent sources of meaning to a rising anti-colonial movement for the Vietnamese people. This article develops its argument through a two-part analysis of the colonial coffee economy. The descriptive part of the analysis starts by exploring the economic dynamics of control, emphasizing the legal systems of land alienation and the coercive mechanisms of labor recruitment that rendered the plantations profitable. Based on this economic landscape, the article now examines colonial power's larger social and political aspects. It reflects on how strategic infrastructure was established to benefit French interests and how a stiff new social order was forcefully imposed on the region's residents. Lastly, the article will discuss how, ironically, the plantation system itself became a source of organized anticolonial struggle, showing how the instruments of oppression were themselves a sign of its future failure. It will demonstrate through this analysis that one commodity came to represent the exercise of imperial power and even the emergence of a nationalist challenge to that power.

Economic Control Through Coffee Cultivation

Land Alienation and the Plantation System

The economic foundation of the coffee industry in French Indochina rested upon the systematic seizure of land from its original inhabitants. To achieve this, the colonial administration, as part of its wider imperial expansion in the late nineteenth century, implemented a powerful legal policy declaring vast tracts of territory to be *terres vacantes et sans maître*, or vacant and ownerless lands (Durand 2008). The approach deliberately ignored the complex, unwritten forms of land tenure practiced by local communities, especially the indigenous groups of the Central Highlands whose claims were communal rather than individual (Hardy 1998). Because these communities often lacked the formal, Western-style property deeds recognized by French law, their ancestral lands were deemed

legally unoccupied and thus available for state appropriation (Hardy 1998). A legal framework based on the Napoleonic Code, which prized individual title, was thus imposed upon a society that functioned entirely outside that tradition (Hardy 1998). In this manner, the French state applied its laws to give the appearance of legality to an act of wholesale colonial expropriation. It was the law of one society imposed upon another with fatal results, and it furnished the first significant test in the development of the coffee economy as a whole.

As soon as land was deemed vacant, the colonial state rushed to grant concessions to French corporate interests. This process created a powerful new landed elite whose wealth was directly tied to the success of cash-crop agriculture. A prime example was the Société agricole du Kontum. Formally established in May 1925 with backing from major Parisian banks, the company was granted a definitive 500-hectare concession in the highlands in 1928 (Cucherousset 1925 [2025]). To work this land, the company brought in indentured laborers, known as "coolies," from the overpopulated coast of Annam to supplement the local Montagnard workforce (Cucherousset 1925 [2025]). This operation, designed to be highly favorable to French investors, perfectly illustrates how metropolitan capital, colonial law, and coerced labor combined to create a new, industrial-scale economy that dwarfed traditional farming. The primary victims of this large-scale land alienation were the indigenous peoples of the highlands (such as the Jarai, Ede, and Bahnar), who were often referred to collectively by the French as *Montagnards* (Jones 2002). Their traditional agricultural methods, such as swidden or slash-and-burn farming which involved rotating land use over many years to maintain soil fertility, were misunderstood and dismissed by the French as primitive and inefficient. As historian Mark W. McLeod argues, a Eurocentric belief in settled, intensive agriculture as the only marker of civilization provided a convenient justification for labeling their ancestral territories as underutilized and therefore ripe for seizure and rational development (McLeod 1999). The result was the widespread displacement of entire communities, which severed the deep economic, social, and spiritual connections to the land they had inhabited for generations. By turning self-sufficient societies into landless, marginalized groups, the French created a social crisis that directly fueled the plantation labor system.

The establishment of coffee plantations led to a dramatic and irreversible transformation of the natural environment. This process began with extensive deforestation on an industrial scale, as the French targeted the fertile red earth regions of the Central Highlands. The scale of this agricultural conversion was immense; in a key province

like Dak Lak, the basaltic soils ideal for coffee cultivation cover as much as 40% of the entire land area (Müller and Zeller 2002). This shift from a diverse, indigenous ecosystem to a vast coffee monoculture had severe ecological consequences, including soil erosion and a permanent loss of biodiversity. From the French perspective, this was a civilizing “taming of the wilderness” (Müller and Zeller 2002). For the region’s indigenous peoples, however, it represented the destruction of the forests that had long sustained their economic and cultural lives. The visual impact of these immense, fenced-off plantations served as a constant, powerful symbol of colonial domination.

Economically, the plantation system dismantled traditional subsistence economies and replaced them with a model of production entirely dependent on the French metropole. Local communities that had once farmed for their own consumption and participated in small-scale local trade were now pushed to the margins of a new cash-crop economy from which they derived almost no benefit (Booth 2007). The profits generated by the coffee trade were not reinvested locally to build schools or hospitals for the Vietnamese but were funneled back to investors and corporations in France. A classic colonial economic cycle was thereby created, where the colony produced raw materials at low cost for the exclusive benefit of the colonizing power, deepening its economic dependency. Furthermore, by destroying the viability of subsistence agriculture, the French system effectively eliminated any economic alternatives for the local population, forcing them to engage with the colonial economy on terms dictated by the French, often as low-wage laborers.

A critical element in this entire process was the active and indispensable role of the colonial state. The administration was far from a neutral arbiter; it was the primary enabler and enforcer of the entire plantation system. State officials managed the land surveys, wrote the laws that favored French interests, and adjudicated any disputes with a clear bias toward the colonizers, providing the administrative muscle to finalize the concessions (Frankema and Booth 2019). Furthermore, the colonial state provided the security necessary to protect these new, and often resented, enterprises. The military and local police forces were frequently used to quell potential dissent from dispossessed communities and to ensure that the vast private properties of the *colons* were defended against any attempts at reclamation. The state, therefore, functioned as an essential business partner for the coffee planters, using its monopoly on legitimate force to guarantee the success and security of their investments.

Labor Exploitation on the Coffee Plan-

tations

With vast tracts of land secured, the French colonial enterprise faced its next challenge: mobilizing a workforce large and disciplined enough to operate the coffee plantations. The state’s power to compel labor was a critical tool, most visibly expressed through the system of *corvée*. A form of unpaid, compulsory labor, the administration could legally demand a set number of days per year from local villagers for so-called public works (Jerez 2019). The French, however, repurposed this traditional system to directly serve private colonial interests. A public obligation was thus twisted into a tool for private profit, cementing the partnership between the colonial state and the French planters. This exploitation was not only economic but also political, as it disrupted village life and undermined the authority of local leaders who could no longer protect their communities from the state’s demands. The use of *corvée* was a direct exercise of state power, converting the Vietnamese peasantry into a temporary, unpaid workforce that laid the very groundwork for the region’s subsequent economic exploitation.

The primary function of *corvée* labor was the construction of essential infrastructure that the capital-intensive coffee plantations required. Villagers were conscripted to perform the backbreaking tasks of clearing dense highland forests, draining malaria-infested swamps, and, most importantly, building the roads and railways that would connect the remote plantations to coastal ports (Jerez 2019). Without this state-coerced labor, the cost of establishing these plantations would have been prohibitively expensive for French investors. In a cruel irony, the Vietnamese were compelled to construct the very infrastructure that would facilitate the export of wealth from their own lands. These new roads also served a military purpose, allowing French troops to move swiftly into the interior to suppress any dissent, thus reinforcing both economic and political control.

While *corvée* labor was effective for initial construction, the year-round demands of coffee cultivation required a more permanent and captive workforce. To meet this need, the French developed a sophisticated system of indentured labor, which targeted the most vulnerable populations within their colonial territory. Recruiters focused on the densely populated and impoverished deltas of Tonkin and Annam, where peasants faced endemic land shortages, recurring famines, and crushing debt from usurious moneylenders (Altan 2022). These desperate conditions made the promises of salaried work in the highlands seem like a rare opportunity for survival and advancement. This system was specifically engineered to displace workers in their villages and kinship groups and relocate them hun-

dreds of kilometers. Isolated in the highlands, they were wholly at the mercy of their employers and well beyond the reach of all the social and legal safeguards they knew, a highly exploitable and easily manipulated labor source that planters could order around with relative impunity.

The recruitment process was itself fraudulent and coercive, facilitated by Vietnamese recruitment agents called *cai*, who were commissioned by French planters. These recruiters were infamous, posing false promises and luring peasants into signing multi-year contracts using deceptive practices (Bremner 2015). They generally spoke of the plantations as lands of easy labor, fine food, and respectable pay. The contracts, poorly written so that the illiterate peasants, who had put their thumb mark where they signed, rarely understood them, enslaved them to years of toil on a punitive system of punishment. Even the journey to the highlands was a trial, and numerous recruits were struck off the roll by sickness and fatigue. Since its inception, the indenture system was founded not on free will but on exploiting desperation and misinformation. The contract was a legal trap that guaranteed cheap labor to the lucrative coffee estates.

When they reached the highlands, they did not realize the better life they had been promised. Instead, indentured laborers were subjected to unfathomable living conditions that bred disease and hopelessness. They lived in primitive, packed barracks, usually with mud floors and no defense against the elements or insect miscreants like mosquitos (Panthou 2014). There was virtually no sanitation, and outbreaks of dysentery, cholera, and other infectious diseases were frequent and deadly. Malaria was particularly rife in the highland climate, and in the absence of such medical attention, it could prove fatal to workers, who were already in a frail condition. The food the plantation owners supplied was scarce and comprised mostly low-quality rice. This nutrition was energy-deficient against the vigorous physical work required, resulting in extensive malnutrition and vitamin deficiencies, exposing them further to disease. The discrimination was deliberate, as improving conditions was considered an avoidable expense.

The brutality of the labor regime compounded the already inhumane living conditions. The labor day went on between sunrise and sunset, six days a week, with hardly any pause. Coffee harvesting, planting, and weeding were backbreaking and constantly monitored by overseers (Aso 2011). A system of institutionalized violence was used to maintain discipline. French managers and Vietnamese supervisors resorted to whipping and beating as an outside way to discipline perceived laziness or insubordination. Combined with this physical abuse was an elaborate scheme of fines to regulate the workforce. Workers could

be providing demerit wages due to minor offenses like a broken tool, chatter on the job, or falling short of an excessive quota. This system created a cycle of indebtedness and peonage that had put the laborers in a state of bondsman where they could never break their shackles financially as their initial contract had expired.

The inevitable consequence of this oppression was an appallingly low mortality rate in the plantations. Although the colonial administration did not generally maintain strict counts, reports of labor inspectors and medical personnel show a nightmare. The annual mortality of indentured labor on the largest estates in 1926-27 was between 12 and 47 percent (Panthou 2014). Their manager of the Bù Đốp plantation, where almost half the workers died within one year-dismissed this loss with the cold logic of a man who feels the new enterprise was, at least, worth a few sacrifices (Panthou 2014). This bureaucratic insensitivity was based upon a cold-blooded economic perspective: the lives of Vietnamese workers were worth less than the expense of refining the situation. It was long believed, as one French doctor noted, that it was more economical to discharge a “worn down coolie like a worn-out part” than to spend money on sanitation, decent accommodation, or nutrition (Panthou 2014). This attitude was an intentional dehumanization of the workforce as these people were no longer perceived as employees but as cogs in the machine of profit. Ultimately, the real price of having French cups of Indochinese coffee was immeasurable human suffering, which was captured in these stark mortality rates.

Social and Political Dimensions of Control

Infrastructure for Domination: Roads and Railways

The vast economic enterprise of coffee cultivation required more than just land and labor; it demanded a modern infrastructure network capable of moving goods and people across a challenging landscape. While the colonial state undertook ambitious public works programs, individual plantation companies often built their own private infrastructure to serve their specific needs. A clear example is the Société des Plantations du Kontum, operating near Pleiku, which reported in 1929 that it had constructed its own 14-kilometer road, complete with bridges and culverts (Cucherousset [1925] 2025). This private road was not a benevolent public work designed to benefit local communities; its sole purpose was to efficiently connect the remote estate to the broader colonial network. The in-

infrastructure was therefore a physical manifestation of the colonial economy's extractive nature, serving as a one-way conduit for funneling the colony's wealth directly to France.

In addition to serving a vital economic purpose, the new infrastructure was an effective political and military control tool. The French troops and colonial police, since they came along the same roads and railways that brought coffee into the ports, could now penetrate the country's inner regions with unprecedented speed and efficiency (Nguyen 2023). The ability to project force quickly was also necessary to control the situation, quell dissent, and intimidate the local population. The slightest trace of opposition by a displaced Highland society or a group of striking servants in the plantations might be dealt with by a swift and overwhelming display of force. The transport infrastructure was also instrumental in increasing the extent of the colonial state to inaccessible areas, bringing them under direct administrative and military control. Thus, the infrastructure was highly utilitarian, facilitating the economic exploitation it was designed to support and the political subordination on which that exploitation relied.

The strategic role of this infrastructure was no less important than its symbolic effect. To the French, the roads and railways gave them a glimpse of what they could do to conquer them as they tried to bring nature under their control by getting a rational order to what they saw as wild and untamed land (Cooper 2001). To the Vietnamese, however, they were marks indelibly marked upon the land, markers of foreign incursion, foreign dominion (Brocheux and Hémery 2011). Moreover, the construction of these networks was done under the forced labor of the Vietnamese themselves, using the *corvée* system (Jerez 2019). The very individuals who suffered under the colonial regime were compelled to build the instruments of their own subjugation. The roads were not for them; they were for the colonizer. Every road and railway line thus served as an enduring monument to French authority, physically embodying the unequal power relationship at the heart of the entire colonial project in Indochina and fueling local resentment (Suther 2005).

The Colonial Social Order

The coffee plantation was more than just an economic unit; it was a microcosm of the colonial world, intentionally designed with a new and rigidly defined social hierarchy. At the absolute apex of this order was the French *patron*, or plantation owner, who often lived in France and delegated authority to a European director or manager on site. Below this director were several tiers of white European supervisors, each responsible for specific operations

like planting, harvesting, or processing (Hardy 1998). The distance between these Europeans and all Vietnamese personnel was a gap nobody could bridge. The system aimed to reflect and support the larger colonial beliefs of white dominance in that power came along with race. No matter how incompetent or inexperienced he might have been as a European, no Vietnamese could have been above him by holding a command position (Brocheux and Hémery 2011). This structure created a daily performance of colonial authority. It made it explicit that the right to rule was not based on merit but on French identity, a critical element of social control.

In this hierarchy of fire, the Vietnamese foremen, or *cai*, occupied the dangerous intermediate positions. The local populace hired these men to carry out the will of the French overseers and coordinate the daily work of indentured laborers. They were put in an awkward, even dangerous situation, between their European masters and students and the bitter resentment of the workers they directed (Anh 1985). In the interests of keeping order and satisfying their employers, foremen were forced to employ the same barbaric forms of discipline as the French, namely public whippings and beatings. Although they were slightly more advanced than the general laborers and received higher wages, they were still considered inherently inferior by the Europeans and frequently treated with disdain. The *cai* thus represented one of the essential policies of colonial domination, producing an elite of local collaborators who would support the system and consequently generate tension and stratification among the colonized.

A cornerstone of French control in Indochina was the deliberate application of a divide and rule strategy, which sought to fragment indigenous society and prevent the formation of a unified anticolonial front. French administrators skillfully exploited pre-existing ethnic, regional, and religious tensions to maintain their authority. They often positioned the Kinh Vietnamese majority against the various *Montagnard* minorities of the highlands, granting certain groups minor privileges to foster jealousy and discourage inter-ethnic cooperation (McLeod 1999). Similarly, the colonial government sometimes showed favor to Vietnamese Catholics, creating another line of friction within the native population. This policy was reflected on the plantations, where managers might recruit work gangs from specific, rival villages or ethnic groups. By ensuring that the colonized population was internally divided, the French weakened its potential for collective action, making it easier to govern and control with a relatively small number of European personnel.

At the very bottom of this pyramid were the masses of Vietnamese laborers, including both the indentured

workers from the deltas and the dispossessed *Montagnards* from the surrounding highlands. They were a largely undifferentiated group in the eyes of the French, stripped of their individual identities and treated as a single, expendable resource (Panthou 2014). To prevent solidarity, however, planters would often foster subtle divisions among the workforce. Workers from different regions or ethnic groups might be housed in separate barracks, and minor privileges, such as slightly better food rations or less arduous tasks, could be granted to some to create jealousy and mistrust (Bittmann 2024). Despite these efforts, the shared experience of brutal exploitation often overcame these divisions. What finally connected the laborers was their shared agenda: the hard labor, the insatiable hunger, the fear of lash, and the complete absence of liberty that characterized their being on the fields. The installation of this new plantation-inspired social order made a devastating and lasting impact on the traditional Vietnamese village culture. The village had been a major social, political, and economic center in Vietnam for centuries, ruling itself with a council of elders and feeling strongly about communal duty and kinship (Grossheim 2015). The recruitment of thousands of young men for indentured labor shattered this structure. It deprived the village of a key labor force, disrupted family and community lines, and left communities without their best workers. The loss of such a high number of men consequently led to a massive gender imbalance, possibly burdening the women left behind to handle agriculture and familial and societal responsibilities by themselves. The authority of the village elders was simultaneously undermined by the colonial state, which now held the ultimate power over life, land, and labor, bypassing traditional leaders. The self-sufficient, inward-looking world of the traditional village was forcibly broken open and subordinated to the demands of the colonial plantation economy, leaving a social vacuum in its wake and weakening a key source of local identity and resistance.

This new hierarchy was explicitly designed to reinforce both racial and class divisions, which the French saw as essential for maintaining long-term control. The stark separation between the European elite and the Vietnamese masses was a constant, daily performance of colonial power. Every interaction, from the giving of an order to the allocation of food, reinforced the ideology of French superiority and Vietnamese inferiority (Hardy 1998). Furthermore, the plantation system created new and lasting class divisions within Vietnamese society itself. It elevated the status of the collaborating *cai* while pushing the majority of the peasantry into a new, landless proletariat. These divisions were not accidental byproducts of the economic system; they were a central part of the French

strategy of social control, or divide and rule. By breaking down traditional structures and creating a fragmented society, the French aimed to prevent the emergence of a unified opposition to their rule.

The Culture of Coffee and Colonial Society

As the coffee economy became entrenched, its influence extended beyond the plantations and into the urban centers of French Indochina. In cities like Hanoi and Saigon, a new colonial urban culture emerged, and the French-style café became one of its most prominent and powerful institutions. These were not neutral public spaces available to all; rather, they were carefully curated environments that functioned as key sites for the performance of colonial identity and the reinforcement of social boundaries (Njoh 2016). For French officials, soldiers, planters, and their families, the café was a home away from home, a place for leisure, business networking, and socializing exclusively among Europeans. A space of carefully constructed Frenchness, it was meant to be a refuge from the perceived chaos and foreignness of the surrounding city. It served as a physical and cultural outpost of France, a space where colonial power was made visible and reinforced on a daily basis. The very architecture and layout of these cafés, mimicking those in Paris, represented an imposition of French aesthetics onto the Vietnamese urban landscape, effectively carving out segregated zones that marked a clear boundary between the world of the colonizer and that of the colonized (Njoh 2016).

The exclusivity of the colonial café was central to its function as an instrument of social control and racial segregation. These establishments were deliberately designed to be unwelcoming to the vast majority of the local Vietnamese population. The exclusive use of the French language, the unfamiliar European customs and etiquette, and the prohibitively high prices all worked together to ensure the clientele remained almost entirely white (Brocheux and Hémery 2011). While not always enforced by explicit rules barring entry, a powerful and unspoken set of social codes signaled that the Vietnamese were not welcome. For a local person to enter such a space was to invite suspicion, contempt, or outright refusal of service. This daily experience of exclusion in a public setting served as a constant and humiliating reminder of the colonial hierarchy. It reinforced the idea that even in the heart of their own cities, the Vietnamese were second-class citizens, denied access to the modern spaces of power and leisure. The product itself, coffee, became a potent symbol of French modernity and cultural superiority, used to create

a clear distinction from local traditions. In a society where tea had long been the traditional beverage of choice for social and ritual occasions, the promotion and consumption of coffee represented a deliberate cultural imposition. The act of sitting leisurely in a café, sipping a *café au lait*, was a performance of a modern, European lifestyle. It was an activity that stood in stark contrast to the lives of the Vietnamese masses toiling in the fields to produce the very beans being consumed (Minh 2024). Coffee was framed as the drink of the sophisticated, the powerful, and the civilized. This created a cultural binary that implicitly equated French customs with progress and Vietnamese traditions with backwardness. The consumption of coffee was thus not a simple matter of taste; it was an affirmation of one's place within the colonial order, a symbolic act of aligning with the dominant power.

While the majority of Vietnamese were excluded from this new coffee culture, a small, French-educated native elite, known as *évolués*, were selectively admitted into these spaces. In the case of this population, engaging in French culture, such as attending cafes and drinking coffee, was a means to prove civilized and indicate their support to the colonial state. The French needed their presence in the cafes strategically to maintain the perception of an effective civilizing mission and to create a buffer layer between the French and the rest of the people (Anh 1985). However, it also left a tremendous and permanent cultural gap within the society of Vietnam itself, where the Westernized elite was divorced from the larger population that still honoured traditional ways. Thus, skillfully harnessing the coffee culture, the French not only reinforced the existing wall between themselves and the Vietnamese but also divided native society even further, complicating the social environment and solidifying their grasp of power.

Resistance to Colonial Exploitation

Everyday Forms of Resistance

The oppressive conditions on the coffee plantations did not go uncontested. While open, large-scale rebellion was often met with overwhelming force, laborers developed a range of subtle but effective methods of daily resistance. These actions, which historian James C. Scott famously termed weapons of the weak, were not designed to overthrow the colonial system outright but to undermine its efficiency and allow workers to reclaim a small measure of autonomy in their daily lives (Bailey and Scott 1987). Common tactics included feigning illness to avoid work, intentionally working at a slow pace, or pretending to misunderstand orders given in French by supervisors. Such acts were difficult for overseers to punish definitively, as they walked a fine line between insubordination and

plausible deniability. An exhausted worker and a defiant one looked remarkably similar. The cumulative effect of these small acts of defiance could significantly impact a plantation's profitability, representing a constant challenge to colonial authority.

Another common form of everyday resistance involved the deliberate damage of plantation property and the theft of resources. Laborers might accidentally break expensive tools, intentionally damage coffee processing machinery, or mishandle young plants in a way that reduced their future yield. Acts of sabotage were a direct, albeit covert, way of striking back at the economic interests of the French planters who controlled every aspect of their lives (Aso 2018). Theft was also prevalent; workers would steal tools, food from the storehouses, or even bags of coffee beans to sell on the local black market. Stealing resources not only provided a supplement to their meager rations but also represented a form of reclamation. It was a way of taking back a small fraction of the immense wealth extracted from their labor, and it implicitly challenged the planters' absolute right to property and control.

Perhaps the most definitive act of individual resistance was desertion. Despite the severe penalties, which could include brutal beatings or an extension of their contract if caught, many workers chose to flee the plantations (Trang 2022). Breaking out was a massive undertaking, and workers had to traverse hundreds of kilometers of strange and hostile land and dodge colonial patrols and spies. A successful escape meant a complete renunciation of the indenture system and the individual's victory over the colonial system. The desertion rate was always a concern to planters, as it negatively affected labor supply and made planters allocate additional resources to constant surveillance. The mere flight itself was not, thus, simply a flight out of adversity; it was an effective political gesture that directly dislocated the structure of the plantation economy (Sutherland 2005).

In addition to individual acts, workers also opposed this by maintaining their cultural practices and creating a group identity. At night, out of the sight of the overseers in the barracks, it was here that the workers swapped news of their home villages, songs, and stories with each other. Such a cultural connection was a potent defense against the alienating and isolating experience of plantation life (Aso 2011). It created a spirit of unity among the workers of various regions and ethnic groups. It made them remember they were part of a community, not a group of wandering, nameless laborers. The culture of suffering and resilience thus provided a social foundation for more systematic versions of struggle. Forming a group that shared the consciousness of oppression was an essential initial step toward making a more direct and coordinated

challenge to the system.

Organized Resistance: Strikes and Revolts

Although resistance daily undermined the authority of planters, more prepared forms of protest also manifested right out of the discontent of the plantation system. The social correlation of exploitation created an everyday awareness among workers not limited to regional or ethnic variations. This unity formed the basis of concerted efforts like labor strikes that were increasingly becoming a characteristic of the plantation scene (Altan 2022). A strike, in contrast to insidious sabotage, was a manifest and a group rejection of labor, a direct attack on the economic processes of the plantation. Employees would request higher pay, more food rations, or the cessation of physical abuse. Whereas these strikes were frequently brutally suppressed by colonial police or military forces, they marked a massive intensification. They proved the labor force could engage in systematized, mass action, a phenomenon that presented a grave concern to the planters and the colonial government.

Indochina coffee and rubber colonies soon became rich soil for recruiting units of the fledgling anticolonial formations of the 1920s and 1930s. Historian William J. Duiker observes the revolutionary potential of the plantation proletariat, which was seen by both nationalist and progressively communist organizers alike (Duiker 1973). These laborers were a compact mass of highly grieved people alienated in their natural village systems and accustomed to an austere, rigid command system. Activists would sneak onto the plantations with secretly distributed pamphlets and clandestine gatherings, teaching the workers about the greater political cause of opposing French colonialism. They interpreted the personal suffering of the workers not as an issue of individual misfortune but a direct result of a foreign imperial system. It was a heroic message, and the people related it to the greater national struggle and better working conditions.

The connection between plantation labor and organized revolt became undeniable during events like the Ng-hệ-Tĩnh Soviets of 1930-1931. Although this was a broad-based peasant uprising driven by a combination of famine and high taxes, plantation workers played a significant role (Duiker 1973). Many of the leaders and participants were former or current laborers who brought with them an intimate knowledge of colonial oppression and a radicalized political consciousness. The discontents that came into being in the plantations, low wages, barbarous conditions, and dispossessed landownership were also the same grievances that caused the greater rebellion. The French reaction was energetic and savage, executing hundreds by air bombardment to stamp out the movement. Nonetheless, this incident taught anticolonial leaders a valuable

lesson. It revealed the enormous revolutionary potential of the peasantry and the proletariat and the foundation of the plantation in the historical imagination as a hallmark of French colonial tyranny.

Another clear example of organized resistance can be seen in the Phú Riềng rubber plantation strike of 1930. While not a coffee plantation, the conditions and systems of labor were virtually identical, and the event had a profound impact across Indochina. At Phú Riềng, thousands of workers organized a massive strike to protest their slave-like conditions, presenting a list of formal demands to the French management. The strike was orchestrated by cadres of the newly formed Indochinese Communist Party, demonstrating a high level of political organization (Aso 2018). The French authorities, seeing this not merely as a labor dispute but as a direct political threat, responded with overwhelming force, arresting and executing the leaders. Despite its violent suppression, the Phú Riềng strike became legendary within the anticolonial movement. It proved that large-scale, politically motivated action was possible even within the tightly controlled environment of the plantations.

The brutal suppression of these strikes and revolts ultimately backfired on the French. Each act of violent repression created martyrs for the anticolonial cause and further radicalized the population. The stories of crushed strikes and executed leaders were spread throughout the colony, becoming powerful tools for propaganda and mobilization (Sutherland 2005). Instead of intimidating the workforce into submission, the state's violence only confirmed the message of the nationalist and communist organizers: that the colonial system was fundamentally unjust and could only be defeated through a unified struggle for independence. The coffee and rubber plantations, designed by the French to be orderly sites of economic extraction, had ironically become the most effective schools for revolution in Indochina, producing a generation of experienced and determined activists who would go on to lead the fight for liberation.

The Plantation as a Symbol in Anticolonial Propaganda

As anticolonial movements gained momentum, their leaders needed powerful symbols to mobilize a diverse population against French rule. The coffee plantation, a lived reality for thousands and a known entity for millions, became the single most potent symbol of French colonial exploitation. It was a tangible and easily understood representation of the entire colonial project, encapsulating land theft, forced labor, racial hierarchy, and the extraction of

wealth into a single, visible institution (Luong 2010). The distant and abstract concepts of imperialism and capitalism were made concrete in the image of the sprawling, foreign-owned estate. Its power as a symbol lay in an ability to transform a complex set of economic and political grievances into a simple, morally unambiguous narrative of foreign injustice. For nationalist and communist organizers, the plantation was the perfect instrument for educating the masses and galvanizing a unified movement.

Anticolonial propaganda skillfully personified the suffering of the nation through the figure of the exploited plantation laborer. In pamphlets, clandestine newspapers, and political speeches, the image of the emaciated, malaria-stricken worker became a recurring motif, representing the physical and spiritual degradation of the Vietnamese people under French domination (Sutherland 2005). An appeal to powerful emotions of empathy, pity, and anger was created by sharing these stories, fostering a sense of shared victimhood among the wider population. The tales of beatings, starvation, and death on the plantations were not just reports of labor abuses; they were presented as atrocities committed against the entire nation. Focusing on the human body as the site of colonial violence allowed propagandists to create a powerful emotional connection to the abstract cause of independence, motivating people to join the struggle and avenge their fallen countrymen.

Beyond evoking anger, anticolonial movements offered a powerful and tangible incentive for joining their cause: the promise of radical land reform. The French had seized the land for their plantations; the revolutionaries promised to seize it back and return it to the people. The slogan Land to the Tiller became a cornerstone of their appeal, resonating deeply with a population composed largely of landless peasants and tenant farmers who had been pushed to the brink of survival (McLeod 1999). A pledge of land redistribution was particularly effective in mobilizing both the Kinh Vietnamese peasantry and the dispossessed *Montagnard* communities of the highlands, who saw the revolution as their only path to reclaiming their ancestral territories. A promise like this directly addressed the foundational injustice of the colonial system as most people experienced it, which was the loss of their land. For millions of people, the abstract goal of national independence was thus translated into the very concrete and life-altering promise of owning the land they worked, a reward that made the immense risks of rebellion seem worthwhile.

Ultimately, the symbol of the plantation was so effective because it served as a unifying narrative for a fragmented society. A common enemy and a shared cause were created that could transcend regional, class, and ethnic divisions that the French had often encouraged. The urban intellectual in Hanoi, the peasant in the Red River Delta,

the indentured laborer in the highlands, and the dispossessed *Montagnard* could all see their own struggles reflected in the injustice of the plantation system (Luong 2010). The coffee plantation was no longer just a place of economic production; it was transformed into the symbolic heart of the oppressive colonial machine. By defining the struggle against the plantation, anticolonial leaders successfully defined the struggle for the nation. They harnessed the grievances born from colonial coffee cultivation to fuel a revolution that would ultimately challenge and defeat the French empire.

Conclusion

The history of coffee in French Indochina demonstrates with stark clarity how a simple agricultural commodity can be deeply entwined with the mechanisms of power. The French colonial project was not sustained by military force alone; it was built upon an economic foundation designed for systematic extraction, and the coffee plantation served as a cornerstone of that foundation. As this article has shown, the transformation of highland ecosystems into coffee-producing estates was a calculated exercise in control. The beginning of this process was the legal expropriation of land. Still, it continued a ruthless work regime that reduced Vietnamese labor power into a disposable factor in a profit-making machine whose goal was wholly directed towards the metropole. The economic exploitation was then reinforced by a new social order and a network of infrastructure that served planters over people, solidifying a racial and political hierarchy that defined the colonial experience.

But, all of French control was an illusion in the end. The very system that was intended to guarantee domination was what created the circumstances that worked against itself. The immense wrongs of the plantation economy resulted in a vast, aggrieved populace united by the common experience of exploitation and brought home to bear as an irresistible uniting force. The localized, personal acts of individual resistance, like sabotage and desertion, became more organized and collective, like labor strikes and revolts. The anti-colonial leaders were able to use these grievances to their advantage and convert the image of the suffering plantation worker into an effective national symbol of foreign tyranny. The plantation, which was supposed to be someplace of peaceful production, was, in fact, the most extraordinary institution of revolution, fostering the very anti-colonial sentiments that, many years later, drove the French out.

The legacy of this colonial history has a complex past that persists in the present. The long-term economic systems they left behind have turned Vietnam into an epic coffee

producer worldwide. The history that demonstrates the incorporation of violence, dispossession, and exploitation to construct its principles cannot be rewritten even in the case of modern success. A good working example is coffee in French Indochina. It shows how the world's need for a single commodity may be utilized to rationalize the whole rearrangement of a society, the devastation of its environment and the enslavement of its people. It is an impressive reminder at the end of how the mechanical clockwork of an empire operates how those caught in its gears can find the will to resist and reclaim their future.

Bibliography

- Altan, Selda. "Politics of Life and Labor: French Colonialism in China and Chinese Coolie Labor During the Construction of the Yunnan–Indochina Railway, 1898–1910." *International Labor and Working-Class History* 101 (January 1, 2022): 77–99. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0147547921000120>.
- Anh, Nguyễn Thê. "The Vietnamese Monarchy Under French Colonial Rule 1884–1945." *Modern Asian Studies* 19, no. 1 (February 1, 1985): 147–62. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X0001458X>
- Aso, Michitake. "Profits or People? Rubber Plantations and Everyday Technology in Rural Indochina." *Modern Asian Studies* 46, no. 1 (November 28, 2011): 19–45. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X11000552>
- Aso, Michitake. *Rubber and the Making of Vietnam: An Ecological History, 1897–1975*, 2018. <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/58836>, accessed July 7, 2025.
- Bailey, F. G., and James C. Scott. "Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance." *Pacific Affairs* 60, no. 2 (January 1, 1987): 365. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2758183>.
- Bittmann, Simon. "UNE TERRE ROUGE DE COOLIES: HIERARCHIES RACIALES ET RATIONALISATION DU TRAVAIL DANS LE CAOUTCHOUC INDOCHINOIS, 1918–1939." Journal-article. *MARRONNAGES*. Vol. 1–2024, 2024. [file:///C:/Users/user/Downloads/Marronnages_2024_ART05_Simon+Bittmann+Une+terre+rouge+de+coolies%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/user/Downloads/Marronnages_2024_ART05_Simon+Bittmann+Une+terre+rouge+de+coolies%20(1).pdf), accessed July 7, 2025.
- Booth, Anne E. "Colonial Legacies: Economic and Social Development in East and Southeast Asia," 2007. <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/8277>, accessed July 7, 2025.
- Breman, Jan. *Mobilizing Labour for the Global Coffee Market. Social Histories of Work in Asia*. Amsterdam University Press, 2015. <https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/32864/1/597440.pdf>, accessed July 6, 2025.
- Brocheux, Pierre, and Daniel Hémery. *Indochina: An Ambiguous Colonization, 1858–1954*. Univ of California Press, 2011. <https://www.perlego.com/book/550913/indochina-an-ambiguous-colonization-18581954-pdf>, accessed July 5, 2025.
- Cooper, Nicola. *France in Indochina: Colonial Encounters*, 2001. https://www.academia.edu/735665/French_Indochina, accessed July 5, 2025.
- Cucherousset, Henri. "Les plantations de thé et de café en Indochine." In "Société Agricole du Kontum (1925–1933)." *Entreprises Coloniales*, published January 19, 2014. Last modified March 31, 2025. https://www.entreprises-coloniales.fr/inde-indochine/Agricole_du_Kontum.pdf, accessed July 8, 2025.
- Duiker, William J. "The Red Soviets of Nghe-Tinh: An Early Communist Rebellion in Vietnam." *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies* 4, no. 2 (September 1, 1973): 186–98. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s002246340001660x>.
- Durand, Bernard. "Missionary Law in the Colonies: A « Patrimonial » Affair ?" *Cahiers D'études Du Religieux*, no. 1 (January 25, 2008). <https://doi.org/10.4000/cerri.259>
- Frankema, Ewout, and Anne Booth. *Fiscal Capacity and the Colonial State in Asia and Africa, c.1850–1960*. Cambridge University Press eBooks, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108665001>
- Grossheim, Martin. "3. Village Government in Pre-colonial and Colonial Vietnam." In *ISEAS Publishing eBooks*, 54–89, 2015.
- Hardy, Andrew. "The Economics of French Rule in Indochina: A Biography of Paul Bernard (1892–1960)." *Modern Asian Studies* 32, no. 4 (October 1, 1998): 807–48. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/313052>, accessed July 6, 2025.
- Jerez, Montserrat López. "Colonial and Indigenous Institutions in the Fiscal Development of French Indochina." In *Cambridge University Press eBooks*, 110–36, 2019. [hed version https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108665001.004](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108665001.004).
- Jones, Sidney, Joe Saunders, and Malcolm Smart. *Repression of Montagnards : Conflicts Over Land and Religion in Vietnam's Central Highlands*. Human Rights Watch eBooks, 2002. <https://www.hrw.org/reports/2002/vietnam/viet0402.pdf>, accessed July 5, 2025.
- Luong, Hy V. "Vietnamese Anticolonialism, 1884–1930." In *University of Hawaii Press eBooks*, 25–50, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.21313/hawaii/9780824833701.003.0002>
- Maspul, Kurniawan Arif. "Will Vietnamese Coffee Preserve Its Tradition or Redefine Its Future?" Medium, February 9, 2025. <https://dikurniawanarif.medium.com/will-vietnamese-coffee-preserve-its-tradition-or-redefine-its-future-9ff1549bf572>, accessed July 7, 2025.
- McLeod, Mark W. "Indigenous Peoples and the Vietnamese Revolution, 1930–1975." *Journal of World History* 10, no. 2 (September 1, 1999): 353–89.
- Meyfroidt, Patrick, Tan Phuong Vu, and Viet Anh Hoang. "Trajectories of Deforestation, Coffee Expansion and Displacement of Shifting Cultivation in the Central Highlands of Vietnam." *Global Environmental Change* 23, no. 5 (May 28, 2013): 1187–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.04.005>
- Minh, Ethan. "History of Coffee in Vietnam: From Colony to Global Icon." *SourceVietNam* (blog), November 29, 2024.

<https://sourcevietnam.com/blog/history-of-coffee-in-vietnam>, accessed July 4, 2025.

Müller, Daniel, and Manfred Zeller. "Land Use Dynamics in the Central Highlands of Vietnam: A Spatial Model Combining Village Survey Data With Satellite Imagery Interpretation." *Agricultural Economics* 27, no. 3 (November 1, 2002): 333–54. <https://scispace.com/pdf/land-use-dynamics-in-the-central-highlands-of-vietnam-a-2zr3mhz4do.pdf>, accessed July 8, 2025.

Nguyen, Thi Nga. "The Road Traffic System in the Southeast During the French Period (1858-1945)." Journal-article. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development*, March 2023.

Njoh, Ambe J. "French Urbanism in Indochina." In *French Urbanism in Foreign Lands*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25298-8_5.

Panthou, Eric. "The Late Provision of Medical Care to Coolies in Indochinese Rubber Tree Plantations: The Michelin Model

Health Unit Set up in 1925–1939." Conference-proceeding. *The 5th International Conference of the History of Medicine in Southeast Asia*, January 9, 2014. <https://uca.hal.science/hal-01273605/document>, accessed July 7, 2025.

Sutherland, Claire Nicole. "Repression and Resistance? French Colonialism as Seen Through Vietnamese Museums." *DOAJ (DOAJ: Directory of Open Access Journals)*, November 1, 2005.

Trang, Nguyen Thi. "Vietnamese Indentured Labourers: The Intervention of the French Colonial Government in Regulating the Flow of Vietnamese Labourers to the Pacific Islands in the Early Twentieth Century." *Labor History* 63, no. 5 (September 3, 2022): 584–603. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0023656X.2022.2142541>

Van Melkebeke, Sven. "Coerced Coffee Cultivation and Rural Agency: The Plantation-Economy of the Kivu (1918–1940)." In *On Coerced Labor*, (Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill, 2016). https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004316386_010