Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-6149

The Systematic Generation and Multiple Dilemmas of Educational Involution: An Integrative Analytical Framework

Menghui Wang

Pre-school education, QiLu Normal University, JiNan, China, 250000
w1027848@gmail.com

Abstract:

With the continued deepening of globalization, educational competition at all levels of Chinese society is becoming increasingly fierce. "Educational involution," a social science concept describing systemic over-competition, manifests itself as a social phenomenon in which individuals and families, within the context of limited resources and development opportunities, continuously invest additional time, energy, and capital in their quest for relative advantage, resulting in diminishing returns for individuals and a continuous expansion of collective losses. This article systematically explores the root causes of educational involution in China and its broader social consequences from a multidimensional and integrated perspective. The study finds that educational involution is not driven by a single factor but rather by the combined effects of social structural tensions, intergenerational cultural and psychological inertia, and external anxieties triggered by technological iteration. These intertwined factors not only significantly suppress students' innovative thinking and compromise their psychological well-being at the micro level, but also, at the macro level, further widen the gap in educational resource distribution and reinforce the entrenched social class structure. Consequently, this article argues that only by deeply understanding the self-perpetuating systemic cycle underlying involution can we lay the necessary theoretical foundation for transcending this predicament and reshaping a healthy educational ecosystem.

Keywords: educational involution; social structure; cultural psychology; technological anxiety; systemic cycle

1. Introduction

Current educational competition has shown typical characteristics of "involution", that is, when the external development of a system is constrained, the system shifts toward infinite internal refinement and self-replication, while failing to achieve a substantial improvement in overall efficiency [1]. It is worth noting that with the acceleration of technological iteration and the reshaping of the employment market structure, the pressure of educational competition is continuously permeating the early stages of individual life trajectories, and its influence extends throughout the entire process—from the early development of interests to the preparation for higher education and future careers—thereby forming a lengthy anxiety-laden competition chain

Currently, China's educational involution has transcended the realm of traditional academic competition and evolved into a multi-dimensional, systematic and complex issue. Its effects have spilled over from the student group to the parent level, specifically manifested in the utilitarian shift in family education goals, the spread of general anxiety, and the inertial rise in education investment [2]. At the higher education stage, it is primarily manifested in the convergent competition among universities, the point-oriented strategies of students, and the intricate phenomenon of internal friction within peer groups.

Academic discussion on educational involution is deepening, with research perspectives evolving from an early focus on equitable resource allocation to a deeper understanding of institutional logic, cultural psychology, and intergenerational transmission mechanisms. Numerous studies have shown that educational involution has transcended a purely educational issue, becoming a lens for reflecting upon structural contradictions, shifting cultural values, and individual identity anxieties during China's transitional period.

This article aims to elucidate the underlying logic and mechanisms driving educational involution through a systematic review and in-depth analysis, constructing an analytical framework that integrates micro-level individual behavior with macro-level social structure, and providing theoretical support for alleviating the pressures of involution and building a more resilient educational ecosystem. The following article will combine empirical research advances with theoretical findings to analyze the manifestations, mechanisms, and multidimensional impacts of educational involution at different levels. Based on this, it proposes a systematic analytical approach that integrates micro-interventions with macro-level guidance.

2. The Current Situation of Education Involution

2.1 The Overall Picture of China's Education Involution

It is worth considering that while China's "Double Reduction" policy has effectively regulated the academic tutoring market, parental educational anxiety has not been mitigated. Instead, a new form of competition has emerged - an "arms race" framed as "quality-oriented development" is quietly taking shape in specialized domains such as arts and physical education [3]. This phenomenon reflects a deep-seated problem: as long as the competitive logic that leads to "time alienation" and goal distortion does not change, involution will continue to reappear in new forms in different fields [4]. This shift from academic tutoring to quality-oriented development underscores the considerable persistence and adaptability of the involution mechanism The involution phenomenon in higher education presents more complex characteristics. Some empirical studies have revealed such a phenomenon: driven by meritocracy, college students often spontaneously form different competitive circles such as "top students", "average students" and "lower-performing students" based on quantitative indicators such as GPA and competition rankings. Observations indicate that distinct behavioral logics operate within each subgroup: some students adhere to the principle of "grades first", others engage in the accumulation of various forms of supplementary capital, and still others find themselves trapped in the dilemma of passive conformity[5]. This phenomenon has gone beyond the simple difference in learning behavior. It actually reflects that under the dominance of the merit system, the "talent selection" function of education is systematically suppressing its "education" value[6]. This situation not only strengthens the utilitarian culture on campus, but also generally weakens the subjectivity and creativity of students. It can be said that the educational process is being alienated into a continuous self-affirmation rather than true growth and development, which has a profound impact on the quality of talent training.

2.2 Representation of involution in specific fields

The impact of educational involution is not evenly distributed, instead, it exhibits significant variations across different social groups and organizational entities. This difference makes the solution of the problem more complicated. Take the group of students from disadvantaged families as an example. The involutionary pressure they

ISSN 2959-6149

confront is often superimposed with the additional identity-related burden. In the general public's cognition, "disadvantaged family situation" is often simply equated with "economic poverty" or "rural students". This labeling-based perception not only obscures the multidimensional complexity of the circumstances faced by this group, but also induces intense identity anxiety. In order to shed this negative label, they often have no choice but to make excessive educational investments, which implicitly exacerbates the educational involution within a specific group [7]. This shows that involution not only stems from the competition for limited educational resources, but is also closely related to the construction and maintenance of social identity, which makes disadvantaged students bear double pressure in the competition.

From the organizational level, the development dilemma of industry-specific universities provides us with another window to observe involution. In the pursuit of "first-class" and homogenized comprehensive rankings, many of these universities have fallen into the traps of goal displacement, the resource-related prisoner's dilemma, and strong path dependence—issues that have led to the gradual erosion of their traditional educational characteristics and a decline in their core competitiveness [1].

3. The formation mechanism of educational involution

3.1 Social structural factors

Educational involution is fundamentally rooted in deep-seated contradictions within the social structure. The administrative-oriented resource allocation mechanism and the "winner takes all" evaluation system[1] not only solidify the scarcity of high-quality educational resources, but also shape an unshakable competitive order and mobility model. Under such intense structural pressure, education is widely perceived by families as a core means to achieve class mobility, or even the sole "safe channel". This instrumental cognition has been further reinforced in the current labor market - many employers' overemphasis on high academic qualifications provides the ultimate legitimacy endorsement for this educational competition. Therefore, the pursuit of higher academic qualifications has essentially evolved into a "qualifying competition" for limited high-quality resources. The core feature of this qualifying competition is its cruel exclusivity and closedness. As Chen Cheng and Bao Lei have pointed out, this exclusive zero-sum game within a limited and closed domain makes it difficult for any individual's excessive investment in education to be converted into effective overall social benefits. Instead, it systematically elevates the threshold and cost of competition, ultimately leading to collective inefficiency and mental fatigue.[8] This means that the rational choices of each individual, when aggregated, constitute a collective irrational trap. This is one of the most troubling features of "involution" and the fundamental reason why it is difficult to crack from within.

3.2 Technological Change

The rapid advancement of cutting-edge technologies such as artificial intelligence is shaping and exacerbating the trend of educational involution through multiple channels. Research shows that the penetration of artificial intelligence primarily reinforces the involution mechanism by expanding the scope of competition, exacerbating resource inequality, distorting educational evaluation, and triggering anxiety about job displacement [9]. For instance, social media and algorithmic recommendations have broken down information barriers, accurately pushing discourses such as "winning at the starting line", which has led to the spread of educational anxiety from offline to online contexts and significantly expanded the scale and influence of involution. At the same time, the uneven distribution of intelligent technology resources between regions and schools has induced a new "prisoner's dilemma", compelling families to continue making additional investments to sustain their relative advantages. The misuse of intelligent evaluation tools has created a "panoramic open view" environment, driving teachers and students into a utilitarian pursuit of standardized indicators. In addition, the expected impact of artificial intelligence on future career structures has forced competitive pressure to move forward, leading to the earlier initiation of learning skills such as programming among younger age groups.

Therefore, the principles of "continuous optimization" and "efficiency first" advocated by technological rationality have created a profound tension with the inherent "education" nature of education. It has provided new tools to address long-standing issues (e.g., uneven distribution of educational resources), but it has also injected a new and more persistent internal driving force into the internal cycle of education, making competition evolve from the pursuit of known goals to an anxious investment in infinite self-optimization under the technological architecture.

4. The impact of educational involution

4.1 The inhibitory effect on innovative thinking

Educational involution poses a significant and multi-di-

mensional challenge to students' innovative capabilities. Fierce competition under limited educational resources compels students to focus their learning on exam-oriented goals, and their learning patterns and thinking processes are subject to invisible constraints. As research shows, excessive competition will seriously squeeze students' time and psychological space for free exploration, in-depth thinking, and reflective trial-and-error—activities that may seem "futile" but are precisely the key breeding ground for the emergence and development of innovative thinking [4]. Under the strong pressure of involution, students' learning behavior generally tends to be repetitive training of existing knowledge and the pursuit of extreme problem-solving skills, rather than curiosity about unknown areas, exploration of knowledge boundaries, and systematic development of critical thinking capabilities. As a result, the involutionary environment may overall suppress students' innovative vitality and adventurous spirit, and widen the structural gap in innovative capabilities between different groups [10]. This is a typical phenomenon of "goal substitution": in order to win in competition, the means (test-taking skills) become the end, while the true purpose of cultivating innovative ability is forgotten and sacrificed. This underscores the urgency for reform of the educational evaluation system to center on innovative literacy and reserve space for students' free exploration.

4.2 Erosion effect on mental health

Prolonged exposure to a high-pressure competitive environment without an exit mechanism has exerted a profound and systematic negative impact on students' mental health. This impact has long transcended the scope of ordinary academic pressure, manifesting as persistent mental fatigue, emotional exhaustion, and a profound sense of worthlessness. Guan Bowen and Gao Ge[11] aptly described this state as "mental internal consumption", that is, individuals gradually exhaust their psychological resources in the competition where they realize the increasing imbalance between input and return, and fall into a psychological dilemma of lack of sense of meaning and loss of development goals. This pattern of psychological pressure induced by involution is highly prevalent. It is not limited to students on campus, but is also clearly reflected in the group of young workers who have just entered the workplace. This indicates that the psychological cost of internal circulation is a systematic erosion across scenarios and life cycles, which must be fully valued and effectively intervened. Thus, it is critical to develop a comprehensive mental health support system and guide students in establishing a diversified outlook on success.

5. Conclusion

This study reveals that the persistence of educational involution originates from a closed-loop system formed by the interplay of social structure, cultural psychology, and technological change. Resource scarcity and the "winner-takes-all" principle give rise to a zero-sum game; intergenerational anxiety and peer pressure perpetuate patterns of excessive investment; and anxiety stemming from technological change fuels such competition. These three mutually reinforcing logics collectively lead to a fundamental imbalance between education's functions of "selection" and "education"—the cost of which is not only the decline of students' innovativeness and mental exhaustion, but also the erosion of education's core function of advancing social equity.

Admittedly, the conclusions of this article are based primarily on theoretical deduction and literature review, and lack in-depth accounts of individual involution experiences at the micro level, as well as robust support from quantitative data. For example, the heterogeneity in the coping strategies and psychological responses among students from different regions and social classes facing the pressures of involution remains to be explored.

Therefore, future research should not be limited to critique but should also focus on exploring solutions. The key question is whether we can envision and implement an educational ecosystem that can counteract the logic of involution. This requires us to explore at least three frontiers: first, how to design a truly diverse evaluation system that recognizes and values valuable qualities beyond "excellent performance"; second, how policy interventions can more precisely break the intergenerational monopoly of resources and provide effective "exit" channels and alternative pathways for disadvantaged groups; and third, in today's world dominated by technological rationality, how can we guide it to become a force that liberates individuality and supports "slow growth," rather than a source of new anxiety. Exploring these pathways will truly begin to break free from the dilemma of involution.

References

[1] Yin Zhiyin & Wu Libao. (2025) The origins and solutions of the involutionary development of industry-specific universities. Higher Education Development and Evaluation, 1-10. https://link.cnki.net/urlid/42.1731.G4.20250927.1912.020

[2] Ding Yadong, Hou Xiaoyang & Li Jing. (2025). The mechanism and direction of family education legislation to solve the internal circulation of parents in compulsory education. Education Guide, (09), 51-59. https://doi.org/10.16215/j.cnki.cn44-1371/g4.2025.09.007.

ISSN 2959-6149

[3] Huang Weixia. (2025). The hidden worries and countermeasures of education involution caused by the rise of quality-oriented training under the background of "double reduction" policy, (14), 23-25. https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:JYYR.0.2025-14-005.

[4] Sun Yu & Ren Miaomiao. (2025). "Double Reduction" and the Development of Students' Core Competencies from a Time Perspective. Contemporary Educational Science,(03), 14-24. https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:SDJK.0.2025-03-002.

[5] Liang Wei & Chen Feng. (2025). Circle "involution": The learning dilemma of Z generation college students and its generating logic. China Youth Research,(05), 21-28. https://doi.org/10.19633/j.cnki.11-2579/d.2025.0045.

[6] Sun Yuantao & Xiong Dianshuang. (2023). Why does educational involution occur? — A theoretical reflection based on the merit system. Fudan Education Forum, 21 (04), 5-10. https://doi.org/10.13397/j.cnki.fef.2023.04.013.

[7] Xu Hong & Dong Zefang. (2025). Concept clarification, ambiguity reflection and growth support for students from disadvantaged families. Jiangsu Higher Education,(09), 38-45. https://doi.org/10.13236/j.cnki.jshe.2025.09.006.

[8] Chen Cheng & Bao Lei. (2022). The mechanism of involution and the solution to involution in education. China Examination,(02), 81-88. https://doi.org/10.19360/j.cnki.11-3303/g4.2022.02.010.

[9] Hu Xiaoyong, Liu Xueni, Chen Lishi, Liu Yanfan & Liu Xiaohong. (2025). Integrating artificial intelligence into basic education: promoting internal circulation or enhancing innovation? Open Education Research,31 (02), 45-54. https://doi.org/10.13966/j.cnki.kfjyyj.2025.02.005.

[10] Wu Qing & Wu Zhijing. (2025). The impact of academic involution on college students' innovative thinking: A research report based on a university in Jiangsu. Innovation and Entrepreneurship Education,16 (02), 12-19. https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:CXYC.0.2025-02-017.

[11] Guan Bowen & Gao Ge. (2025). A portrait description of the phenomenon of youth "involution" and an analysis of its causes and countermeasures - based on the theory of interactive ritual chain. Theoretical Observation,(08), 76-81. https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:LLGC.0.2025-08-014.