Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6130

Impact of Financial Crises on the U.S. Banking Industry: Challenges and Counter Strategies

Jinghan Zhao

School of DEPU, Chongqing, 401320, China
jinghanzhao110@gmail.com

Abstract:
Financial crises are repeatedly creating waves in modern economic history that have generated massive disruptions in financial markets and real economy. Crises are typically triggered by structural misalignments, high levels of leverage and regulatory failures that trigger credit crunches, losses in investor confidence and system instability. The U.S. banking industry plays a pivotal role in financial intermediation and is one of the most vulnerable sectors. The performance of banks has significant implications for the overall stability of the economy. Keeping these in view, this paper examines the impact of financial crises on the U.S. banking industry and suggests possible strategies to improve resilience. The paper examines impact of crises on financial system as whole, internal development of banks and consumers' access as well as confidence in banks before suggesting three broad sets of counter strategies. The findings of this paper suggest that financial crises impact the banking industry by crunching credit, losses in asset quality, impact on profitability and massive losses in consumers' confidence towards banking institutions. To mitigate these impacts, this paper suggests three broad sets of strategies that include improving regulatory oversight and risk management, encouraging innovation in banking services and improving consumers' protection along with financial education. The findings of this paper are theoretical as well as practical. The findings of this paper contribute to the literature on systemic financial risk, it adds to the academic discourse and provides valuable insights for policy makers, regulators and industry practitioners who are interested in shaping a resilient and more trusted banking industry.

Keywords: Financial crisis; U.S. banking industry; Systemic risk; Consumers' confidence; Regulatory strategy.

ISSN 2959-6130

1. Introduction

A financial crisis is a recurring phenomenon in modern economic history that has generated massive disruptions in both US and global economy. Financial crises are typically triggered by asset bubbles, high levels of leverage and systemic mismanagement that generates a sudden crunch in credit, massive losses in financial markets and consumer confidence. The 2007–2008 Global Financial Crisis shook the foundations of Wall Street but also spread into the real economy that generated massive unemployment, losses in household wealth and economic stagnation. Such crises generate massive losses and serve as a constant reminder of the fragility of financial systems and market participants.

In modern times, the banking industry deserves special attention because it plays a pivotal role in resource allocation, creation of credit and financial intermediation. Banks are not simply profit-making entities but a critical institution that ensures stability of broader economy. Several studies have highlighted that banking industry has been perceived as a "transmission channel" of financial crises that triggers system risks when regulatory oversight and internal governance is found wanting [1,2]. All these studies have reached the consensus that studying banking industry during crises is crucial for both theoretical as well as policy perspective.

This paper aims to analyze the impact of financial crises on the banking industry of U.S. and find some countermeasures. The analysis can be divided into two parts: one is the impact analysis on the financial system and the banking industry as well as its end-users; the other is the discussion about the policies and institutions. From the theoretical perspective, this paper enriches the related literature about systemic financial risks and institutional adjustment; while from the applied perspective, this paper provides some references for regulators, bankers and policymakers to avoid the future financial crises.

2. Impact Analysis

2.1 Impact on the Financial System

Financial crises bring tremendous shocks to the whole financial system. As the main pillar of U.S. economy and the pillar of global financial system, U.S. banking industry cannot only support the development of the domestic economy, but also provide the foundation of the global financial system. When financial crises happen, the system will be in a great risk. As the crises break out, the interbank lending will stop, the liquidity will disappear and the credit channel will break down. The collapse of Lehman Brothers is a typical case and the crises caused a global confidence crisis and a dollar liquidity crisis. Financial crises bring tremendous shocks to the whole financial system.

First, the high degree of interconnectedness makes the financial system more vulnerable. When one bank goes bankrupt, it will trigger a "domino effect" [1].

Second, Financial innovation. The securitization of subprime mortgages and the use of derivatives will increase the contagion of crises [2]. Before the crises, the mortgage-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations seemed to diversify the risk, but in fact they spread the shocks from the housing market to other markets [3].

Third, the use of wholesale funding also increased the vulnerability. When crises break out, the banks will meet the funding gap. The sudden withdrawal or freeze of the wholesale market increased the vulnerability of banks' liquidity positions.

2.2 Impact on Banks' Internal Development

Financial crises will force the banks to reconsider their capital structure, risk management and business model at the institutional level. First, Banks will suffer from serious capital erosion. The loan default rate rises sharply, the price of assets falls, the balance sheet deteriorates and the banks will be forced to deleverage and increase their capital adequacy ratio. After the crises in 2008, the Basel III raised the capital adequacy ratio and liquidity ratio requirements, and required the U.S. banks to hold more high-quality capital and reduce the exposure to risky assets [4,5].

Second, Crises adjust business models. The Volcker Rule prohibited the commercial banks from proprietary trading, and the trading became less speculative. In addition, the banks focused more on retail banking, consumer loans and wealth management, and paid more attention to the transparency and compliance to win back the public's trust.

Third, crises spur technological advancement. Banks become more reliant on big data, artificial intelligence, and blockchain to enhance risk assessment and reduce operational risk. For instance, banks use machine learning models to predict loan defaults, and blockchain makes clearing more secure and transparent [6]. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic is not a financial crisis, but it is not a financial crisis. The need for rapid digital transformation was clearly evident, and banks were forced to increase online banking, mobile payments, and wealth management [7].

Finally, crises bring cultural and governance reforms. Risk-seeking culture and incentive pay are often criticized. Banks must restructure executive compensation to tie pay to long-term bank stability rather than short-term profits [8]. These governance reforms provide the foundation for more sustainable banks.

2.3 Impact on Consumers and Society

First, credit tightening restricts access to finance. In a crisis, banks raise their lending standards and reduce loans. Households cannot buy homes, and small businesses cannot get loans. After the 2008 crisis, hundreds of thousands of small enterprises went bankrupt, and the U.S. unemployment rate was over 10% [9].

Second, crises decrease household wealth. Housing market collapse and stock market crash reduce household wealth dramatically. During the subprime mortgage crisis, millions of American families lost their homes to foreclosure and the housing market collapse. This had long-term economic and psychological impacts on consumers [10].

Third, crises decrease trust in banks. Consumers are no longer sure if banks are acting fairly or safely. Consumers avoid banks and seek credit unions, community banks, fintech firms, or even cryptocurrencies. The rise of Bitcoin and decentralized finance is, in part, a result of a very deep mistrust of banks after 2008 [2].

Finally, crises increase social inequality. When vulnerable households have no financial buffer, crises increase inequality. Households who lose their jobs, are denied loans, and see their assets depreciate the most. Wealthy households tend to have more diversified investments and recover faster. This inequality leads to political anger. The Occupy Wall Street movement in 2011 was angry at banks and their excesses [9].

In sum, financial crises do not just impact the economy. They impact consumers and change their behavior. They change consumer behavior, increase inequality, and decrease long-term confidence in the banking system.

3. Policy Recommendations

3.1 Strengthening Regulatory Oversight

The occurrence of financial crises shows that regulation often falls behind market innovation. To reduce the risk of a system-wide crisis, the U.S. must strengthen its regulatory oversight with more flexibility and foresight.

First, countercyclical measures should be taken. Capital requirements and leverage requirements are easy to meet during booms. During a boom, banks are expanding too much. But during a downturn, these requirements are not enough. A countercyclical capital buffer (CCYB) raises capital requirements during credit booms and lowers them during recessions, smoothing out the economy [4].

Second, the regulators should regulate shadow banking/non-bank financial institutions. Risks build up in lightly regulated sectors such as hedge funds, investment firms, and derivatives markets. Even if the banks are heavily regulated, risks can flow into shadow banking and still cause a system-wide crisis. Coordinated supervision among the Federal Reserve, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) is key [1].

Third, stress testing and transparency should extend beyond common stress scenarios to encompass climate, geopolitical, and cyber risk. Broader stress testing scenarios will better prepare banks for stress [5].

Finally, there must be a delicate balance between stifling innovation and ensuring stability. If regulations are too restrictive, it could hamper competitiveness. If they are too light, then instability will follow. A targeted approach is necessary—tightly regulate risky activities while also supporting green finance and financial inclusion [6].

3.2 Enhancing Banks' Risk Management and Technological Capabilities

Beyond regulation, banks themselves must take responsibility for strengthening resilience. First, banks should develop an effective risk management system that incorporates credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, and reputational risk into a single system. Executive compensation should be based on the long-term stability of the bank rather than short-term results [8].

Second, technological integration can vastly improve risk management. With the use of artificial intelligence and big data, it is much harder for default probabilities to be obscured and early warning signs of liquidity stress are much harder to miss. With blockchain, the transparency of settlement systems is greatly improved and early warning signs of trouble in settlement are harder to miss. There are also many real-time monitoring tools that detect vulnerabilities before they become catastrophes [7].

Third, banks should hold adequate amounts of liquid assets. High-quality liquid assets such as U.S. Treasuries should be easily accessible to pay obligations during a crisis. The more diversified the bank's funding, the less likely it is to freeze up during a crisis [4].

Finally, banks must reform their corporate culture. A sustainable financial system cannot be built if the system as a whole is driven by a profit-maximization mindset. A client-first, long-term financial system can be achieved if a set of ethical standards and customer-first policies are upheld and a culture of responsible governance is established. The tendency towards excessive risk taking can be curbed and the credibility of the financial system restored [8].

3.3 Protecting Consumers and Rebuilding Trust

The stability of the financial system depends on the trust of consumers. Protecting households and restoring trust is key. First, consumer protection systems should be strengthened. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) was established after the 2008 crisis and is re-

ISSN 2959-6130

sponsible for overseeing banking practices. The mandate of the CFPB should be expanded to incorporate new sectors such as digital finance and cryptocurrencies. Consumers should never be exposed to predatory products and opaque contracts [10].

Second, financial education and inclusion should be prioritized. Many households during the subprime crisis were not aware of risks associated with mortgages. Public education, school curricula, and digital education can greatly improve financial literacy. Community banks and microfinance programs can also help households access credit during crises by increasing financial inclusion [9].

Third, deposit and investment safety nets need to be extended. Currently, FDIC insurance applies to deposits up to $250,000, a limit which has proven effective in maintaining confidence [3]. In a digital, diversified financial system, protection could eventually need to be extended to retirement accounts or certain classes of digital assets.

Finally, restoring trust will require banks to engage in social responsibility and sustainable finance. Green finance, socially responsible investment (SRI), and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) initiatives have the potential to anchor banking activities in broader social goals, as banks repair their reputations and seek durable public confidence [6].

4. Conclusion

This paper, the author analyzed the impacts of financial crises on the banking industry in the U.S. and discussed potential solutions. Crises not only shake the stability of the broader financial system, but also significantly impact the development and competitiveness of banks and reduce consumer trust. Furthermore, crises disturb economic stability at the systemic level by disrupting the flow of credit and exacerbating contagion effects. Banks experience disturbances in asset quality, liquidity challenges, and impacts on profitability at the institutional level. Crises reduce access to affordable credit and impact consumer confidence in banks at the consumer level. To improve the banking industry, three broad recommendations are made: enhancing regulatory oversight and risk management, innovating in banking products and digital services, and strengthening consumer protection and financial literacy. These recommendations aim to create a more resilient, transparent, and customer-focused banking industry.

Nevertheless, this study has its own limitations. First, due to space limitations, the author only analyzed the situation in the U.S. and did not provide comparisons with other economies. Second, although the three recommendations put forward in this paper are based on academic research and practical observations, the feasibility and effectiveness of these recommendations might be affected by political will, technological development, and market conditions. Future studies could further improve the discussion by incorporating comparisons with other economies, quantitative modeling, and case studies. Despite these limitations, this paper has emphasized the importance of enhancing the resilience of the banking industry in order to reduce the severe impacts of financial crises in the future.

References

[1] Bernanke, B. S. The real effects of the financial crisis. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2018.

[2] Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. This time is different: Eight centuries of financial folly. Princeton University Press, 2009.

[3] Gorton, G., & Metrick, A. Securitized banking and the run on repo. Journal of Financial Economics, 2012, 104(3): 425-451.

[4] Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems. Bank for International Settlements, 2011.

[5] Volcker, P. A. The Volcker Rule: A practical perspective. Federal Reserve Bank of New York, 2011.

[6] Schwarcz, S. L. Fintech and financial innovation. Duke Law Journal, 2019.

[7] Boot, A., et al. Digital transformation in banking. Journal of Financial Intermediation, 2021.

[8] Bebchuk, L. A., & Spamann, H. Regulating bankers' pay. Georgetown Law Journal, 2010, 98(2): 247-287.

[9] Stiglitz, J. E. Freefall: America, free markets, and the sinking of the world economy. W. W. Norton & Company, 2010.

[10] Warren, E. The financial crisis and consumer protection. Harvard Business Review, 2019.