Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6130

The Causes and Implications of BBB's Bankruptcy

Wenxi Zhang[1, *]

[1] Hefei No.1 high school, Hefei, China
*Corresponding author: 19142436350@163.com

Abstract:

Ted Bath & Beyond (BBBY) was a leading American home goods retailer and once a pioneer in the home retail industry, renowned for its iconic blue coupons and diverse product range. However, surprisingly, the company, which had dominated the market for nearly a decade, filed for bankruptcy protection in April 2023, drawing widespread attention from academics and industry analysts. This paper provides an in-depth analysis of the reasons behind BBBY's bankruptcy. Firstly, its rigid and outdated brick-and-mortar business model led to a loss of market competitiveness as consumer shopping behaviors increasingly shifted online. Secondly, BBBY's repeated failed transformations, particularly the introduction of its private-label brands, resulted in a significant loss of customers. Lastly, aggressive financial policies weakened the company's financial stability, leaving it vulnerable to external shocks. Drastic changes in the external macroeconomic environment, such as the pandemic and inflationary pressures, also considerably impacted its operations. Through a thorough examination of this case, this paper further explores the strategic implications of Bed Bath & Beyond's bankruptcy for traditional retail enterprises, such as digital transformation, enhancing brand loyalty, and strengthening financial stability. It offers insights for similar companies to avoid related risks and achieve sustainable development, helping them adapt to trends, seize opportunities, and ultimately realize sustainable growth.

Keywords: BBBY; retailer; bankruptcy.

1. Introduction

In recent years, increased global economic volatility and intensified market competition have drawn widespread attention to corporate bankruptcy. On one hand, external factors such as industry policies or technological changes can impact businesses. On the other hand, internal missteps in decision-making and poor management can also trigger bankruptcy crises. This study aims to analyze the causes of BBBY's bankruptcy, drawing on analyses and research from various authors on bankruptcy issues. Some scholars argue that the causes of bankruptcy lie in internal decision-making errors, governance defects, and strategic shortsightedness—factors that management can control and adjust. For instance, Christensen and McGrath emphasize strategic rigidity and a failure to respond to innovation [1,2]. Companies often focus excessively on serving existing mainstream customers and optimizing current profitable products (“sustaining innovation”), while neglecting “disruptive innovation” emerging from the market periphery. This sluggishness and arrogance toward paradigm shifts in the industry ultimately lead to their disruption by new competitors.

Secondly, Campbell [3] suggests that bankruptcy results from corporate governance failures. For example, boards may be unable to effectively challenge and correct the CEO’s risky strategies, or compensation mechanisms may incentivize executives to pursue short-term stock performance (e.g., through large-scale stock buybacks) or reckless expansion rather than the long-term health of the enterprise, thereby taking on excessive risk. Finally, Cohen claims that bankruptcy is due to outdated operational models and the loss of core customers [4]. These represent serious failures in internal operations and marketing strategies.

Some studies argue that economic and industry shocks are the primary causes of bankruptcy. Corporate bankruptcy is caused by changes in the external environment, such as macroeconomic forces, structural industry shifts, or technological revolutions—factors beyond the control of any single company. For example, Fisher [5] cites the macroeconomic debt-deflation trap. Waves of corporate bankruptcy are the result of a vicious macroeconomic cycle: economic shock → falling asset prices → shrinking corporate net worth → debt repayment difficulties → forced asset sales → further decline in asset prices → more corporate bankruptcies. In this model, even well-managed companies cannot withstand such a systemic macro storm if they are overly leveraged. Christensen states that companies fail due to the irresistible force of technological change [1]. Disruptive technology is a powerful external industry force. It initially appears in low-end or new markets, and its performance may be inferior to mainstream products, but its rate of improvement is extremely rapid. This wave of technological change is external; individual companies find it difficult to resist and can only choose to adapt or perish. Failure to adapt to this external technological paradigm shift is a key external cause of failure for many industry leaders. Altman, Sun L, and You F propose that bankruptcy stems from the quantitative manifestation of financial distress [6,7]. These studies provide quantitative tools (e.g., Z-Score, machine learning models) for identifying bankruptcy risk. They operationalize the causes of bankruptcy as the deterioration of a series of measurable financial indicators, such as sustained decline in profitability, exhaustion of solvency, and excessive financial leverage.

Another group of authors, including Kong, Li, and Cheng, argue that corporate bankruptcy results from the combined effect of internal vulnerabilities (e.g., high leverage, governance failure) and external shocks (e.g., market crises, economic downturns) [8-10].

The goal of the study is to research the whole process of the American home goods retailer Bed Bath & Beyond (BBBY) went from industry leader to bankruptcy. We will find out the different level and deep seated reasons behind its downfall.

This paper is not only a review of this case but also holds significant practical relevance. BBBY’s failure serves as a warning to traditional retail businesses undergoing transformation, particularly in areas such as brand strategy and supply chain management. In this way, we can know that when coming up with company strategies, recognizing the right strategic direction and catch the suitable timing for execution is very crucial.

2. Overview of BBBY’s bankruptcy

2.1 Company Profile

Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. was founded in 1971 as an American chain retailer of home goods. It was once the largest specialty retailer of home goods in North America and famous for for its blue coupons and varied product range. Also, it primarily sold bedding, bath items, kitchenware, and home decor. During its most successful period, BBBY take up a leading position in the home retail sector, overshadowing many other competitors. Thus, it increasingly become the symbol of that industry.

2.2 Bankruptcy process

In the latter 2010s, the rise of online retailers, such us Amazon, had greater impact on BBBY’ s physical store. The trouble for the BBBY is the lack of the digital transformation method. Another bad news is, between 2020 and 2022, the pandemic resulted in supply chain problems. The company aggressively launched private-label products, alienating its core customers and leading to a decline in sales. In 2023, BBBY officially filed for bankruptcy protection and closed its remaining hundreds of stores.

ISSN 2959-6130

3. Multidimensional Analysis of the Causes of BBBY's Bankruptcy

3.1 Internal Management Factors

3.1.1 Slow Digital Transformation and Rigid Physical Store Strategy

Under the fierce impact of e-commerce platforms like Amazon and Wayfair, BBBY failed to build a competitive online channel in a timely manner. Its website offered a poor user experience, and its logistics and delivery efficiency were low, unable to meet the growing consumer demand for online shopping. The company over-relied on its vast physical store network and failed to effectively optimize and upgrade the store experience. Many stores were outdated with cluttered shelves. Amid the trend of "retailtainment," BBBY's stores failed to attract offline consumers.

3.1.2 Poor Financial Management and Cash Flow Issues

Bed Bath & Beyond's financial condition continued to deteriorate before bankruptcy, manifested by cash shortages and negative financial indicators. The company's net sales for fiscal 2022 were $9.2 billion, a 24% decrease from the previous fiscal year. Its operating profit was negative for nine consecutive quarters. By the end of the quarter concluding in May 2022, cash burn reached $320 million, far exceeding its cash holdings of $180 million. In 2022, shareholder equity turned negative (a deficit of $798.6 million), and total liabilities reached $5.2 billion. This lack of liquidity made the company unable to purchase sufficient inventory, leading to many empty shelves during key sales periods and further exacerbating the sales decline.

3.1.3 Failure of Private Label Strategy

In 2019, after Mark Tritton became CEO, Bed Bath & Beyond implemented aggressive cost-cutting measures, which included reducing the popular blue coupons and replacing many well-known national brands with private-label products. While this strategy improved profit margins, it alienated customers loyal to national brands, causing consumers to leave because they could not find their preferred traditional brands, ultimately undermining its "everything you need" brand foundation. Simultaneously, quality issues with private-label products and supply chain disruptions further worsened the problem.

3.2 External Environmental Factors

3.2.1 Macroeconomic Headwinds During the Pandemic Era

Bed Bath & Beyond's sales declined significantly during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic, dropping by 17% in 2020 and 15% in 2021. During the pandemic, competitors like Walmart, deemed "essential," were allowed to remain open, while most of Bed Bath & Beyond's products were non-essential, making it more vulnerable in an environment of tightened consumer spending and forcing temporary closures. Consumers shifted towards online shopping and sought lower prices, diminishing the appeal of Bed Bath & Beyond's traditional 20% discount coupons. Furthermore, starting in 2022, to combat high inflation, the Federal Reserve initiated an aggressive interest rate hiking cycle, leading to shrinking disposable income and reduced demand for non-essential home goods. Simultaneously, supply chain disruptions further squeezed the company's profit margins.

3.2.2 "Meme Stock" Hype Masking Fundamental Issues

In 2021, BBBY was heavily speculated on by US retail investors as a "Meme Stock," causing extreme short-term stock price volatility. This market frenzy, detached from fundamentals, misled management, preventing them from addressing the company's deep-seated structural issues and missing the optimal window for self-rescue.

3.2.3 Global Supply Chain Issues

Global supply chain problems that emerged in 2022 led to a spiral of declining sales and profits for Bed Bath & Beyond. Supply chain disruptions caused insufficient product availability, which in turn affected sales performance. These issues made it difficult for the company to maintain adequate inventory, thus failing to meet customer demand.

4. Implications from the BBBY Case

BBBY's bankruptcy offers valuable lessons for all traditional retail enterprises, and even traditional businesses more broadly.

4.1 Digital Transformation is a Necessity for Survival

Enterprises must elevate digitalization to a core strategic level, creating a seamless online-offline integrated experience. Investing in a robust e-commerce platform, efficient data analytics, and a flexible supply chain system is essential for adapting to future market competition.

4.2 Focus on Customer Value and Brand Loyalty

Bed Bath & Beyond lost a significant number of customers in 2019 due to cost-cutting measures. The reduction of its iconic 20% coupon and the strategy of replacing national brands with private labels caused many loyal customers to leave and switch to other retailers. This indicates that in intense market competition, retailers must not lose sight of their original purpose, provide high-quality service, and maintain brand loyalty to stand out among competitors.

4.3 Financial Prudence is the Engine for Weathering Cycles

Companies need to abandon short-sighted financial maneuvers and maintain healthy, sufficient cash flow and reasonable debt levels. Ample cash reserves are necessary resources for adapting to the market and coping with difficulties during economic downturns.

4.4 Adapt to Changing Consumer Behavior and Enhance Innovation Capability

The most important aspect is that retailers must adapt to new environment by changing their strategies according to different consumer behaviors and learn new technologies and skills. Warren Eisenburg, the founder of the Company, said “We missed the boat on the internet.” From that, Retailers should update their operating system to respond the shifts in sale models. What’s more, retailers should invest and keep the long- term supply chains. Therefore, they won’t be eliminated by the market. At the same time, the innovation is a significant portion. Retailers need to attract consumers attention by creating and developing new products, not only just following the market.

5. Conclusion

This study employs case analysis to demonstrate that the bankruptcy of Bed Bath & Beyond resulted from a combination of internal vulnerabilities and external challenges. Fundamentally, the failure can be attributed to the company’s delayed adaptation to shifts in the retail sector, flawed strategic choices, and insufficient financial resilience. The findings offer valuable insights for corporate management in mitigating future bankruptcy risks. Enterprises should prioritize digital transformation as a strategic imperative, closely monitor evolving customer preferences to strengthen brand loyalty, and uphold financial caution—for example, by maintaining robust cash flow buffers. Additionally, companies must remain agile in response to changes in consumer behavior to foster continuous improvement and achieve sustainable growth.

References

[1] Christensen C M. The innovator’s dilemma: when new technologies cause great firms to fail[M]. Harvard Business Review Press, 2015.

[2] McGrath R G. Falling forward: Real options reasoning and entrepreneurial failure[J]. Academy of Management review, 1999, 24(1): 13-30.

[3] Campbell II T L, Keys P Y. Corporate governance in South Korea: the chaebol experience[J]. Journal of corporate Finance, 2002, 8(4): 373-391.

[4] Cohen S B, Lewis G K. Form and function in the geography of retailing[J]. Economic Geography, 1967, 43(1): 1-42.

[5] Fisher I. The debt-deflation theory of great depressions[J]. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 1933: 337-357.

[6] Altman E I. Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy[J]. The journal of finance, 1968, 23(4): 589-609.

[7] Sun L, You F. Machine learning and data-driven techniques for the control of smart power generation systems: An uncertainty handling perspective[J]. Engineering, 2021, 7(9): 1239-1247.

[8] Kong Fancheng. Bankruptcy Informatization: Status, Models, Causes and Implications [J]. Heilongjiang Human Resources and Social Security, 2022,(03):151-156.

[9] Li Tianyu, Gao Huangting, Zhai Yaqi. Research on Corporate Bankruptcy Assessment Model Based on Unbalanced Big Data [J]. The Theory and Practice of Finance and Economics, 2025, 46(02):43-50.

[10] Chen Wei. On the Causes and Implications of Lehman’s Bankruptcy [J]. Journal of Yangzhou University Tax College, 2010, 15(02):63-67.