Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-6130

Digital Payments and Their Systemic Influence on Consumer Behavior, Financial Services Innovation, and Monetary Policy

Zihao Song[1, *]

[1]School of Artificial Intelligence and Advanced Computing, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, China
*Corresponding author: Zihao.Song24.xjtlu.edu.cn

Abstract:

This study examines the systemic impact of digital payments on consumer behavior, the financial services industry, and monetary policy. With the rapid development of mobile payments, e-wallets, and online transactions, digital payment methods are reshaping consumption habits and accelerating the digital transformation of the global financial system. Drawing on theories of technology acceptance, network externalities, disruptive innovation, and monetary economics, the research develops an integrated analytical framework. A mixed-method approach is adopted, combining econometric analysis based on macro statistics and consumer survey data with case studies of typical platforms and expert interviews. The findings highlight that digital payments significantly enhance consumption, drive financial innovation, and challenge traditional monetary policy mechanisms. This study provides theoretical insights into the multi-dimensional influence of digital finance and offers practical implications for consumers, financial institutions, and policymakers to adapt to the evolving cashless society. Future research will further explore the long-term macroeconomic implications of digital finance, particularly its interaction with emerging digital currencies. By doing so, it will contribute to a deeper understanding of the transition toward an inclusive and resilient digital financial ecosystem.

Keywords: Financial Services; Monetary Policy; Digital Payments.

1. Introduction

Relevant theoretical and empirical research to construct an overall analysis framework. Secondly, quantitative analysis is used to construct econometric models using macro statistics and consumer questionnaire data to empirically test that the rapid development of digital payment and consumer information technology is promoting the global payment system to undergo profound digital transformation.

ISSN 2959-6130

Digital payment methods represented by mobile payment and e-wallets have rapidly become popular due to their convenience and efficiency, profoundly changing traditional consumption habits and economic ecology. This trend presents a diversified development path on a global scale: China‘s Alipay and WeChat Pay deeply integrate social and life scenarios, PayPal and Apple Pay in the United States rely on technology and hardware ecology to continue to innovate, and Europe‘s SEPA is committed to cross-border payment integration, jointly outlining the development blueprint of a cashless society. It is particularly noteworthy that the new crown epidemic has become an important catalyst for the promotion of digital payments. In order to reduce the risk of virus contact transmission, „contactless“ payment has changed from an option to a preferred option, significantly accelerating the process of digital transformation of social finance.

In this context, it is particularly important to systematically explore the multi-dimensional impact of digital payment. This study aims to deeply analyze the systemic changes brought about by digital payments on consumer behavior, the financial services industry and the monetary policy system. The specific research objectives include: firstly, to analyze the reshaping mechanism of digital payment on consumers‘ payment preferences, consumption habits and savings behavior; secondly, it examines the impact and transformation of digital payment on the competition pattern, business model and innovation path of the traditional financial services industry; Finally, the far-reaching impact of digital payment on the central bank‘s monetary policy transmission mechanism, money supply definition and financial stability is discussed [1].

2. Literature review

This study has important theoretical and practical significance. At the theoretical level, by integrating financial technology, consumer behavior and monetary economics, this study helps to build a multi-dimensional analysis framework for the systemic impact of digital payments, making up for the shortcomings of existing research focusing on a single level. At the practical level, the research results will provide a reference for consumers to adapt to the digital financial environment, provide a basis for financial institutions to optimize innovation strategies and respond to industry changes, and provide decision-making support for policymakers to improve the monetary policy framework and maintain financial stability, so as to promote the development of digital finance in a healthy and inclusive direction.

Focusing on the above research objectives, this paper raises the following core questions: (1) How can digital payment reshape consumers‘ payment habits and decision-making models from the dimensions of efficiency, trust and social interaction? (2) In the face of cross-border competition among digital payment enterprises, what kind of strategic transformation and innovation are traditional banking and financial institutions undergoing in terms of payment business, customer relationships, products and services? (3) How does the popularization of digital payment affect the currency multiplier, currency circulation speed and currency hierarchy, which in turn challenges the transmission mechanism and effectiveness of the central bank‘s monetary policy?

To answer the above questions, this study uses a mixed research method that combines qualitative and quantitative analysis. Firstly, the literature research method is used to systematically comb the relationship between variables such as comb behavior and money supply. Finally, through the case study method, select typical digital payment platforms and traditional financial institutions transformation cases for in-depth analysis, and combine expert interviews to absorb professional insights in the field to ensure the comprehensiveness and reliability of the research conclusions. This part will systematically analyze the impact of digital payments on consumer behavior, financial service innovation, and monetary policy, focusing on the core issues [2].

3. Digital payments and systemic influence

3.1 Definition and theoretical basis of core concepts

First, it is necessary to define „digital payment“. The digital payment referred to in this article refers to the value transfer behavior initiated, authorized and completed digitally through the Internet, mobile communication network, near-field communication (NFC) and other technologies, and its typical forms include third-party mobile payment (such as Alipay, WeChat Pay), e-wallet (such as Apple Pay), and online payment based on bank accounts. Its development is rooted in the intersection of multiple theories [3].

At the level of consumer behavior, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is a key framework for understanding user adoption of digital payments. The model believes that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are the primary factors that determine users‘ acceptance of new technologies [4]. The convenience and efficiency of digital payment perfectly fit these two core perceptions. Further, Network Externality explains the exponential growth of digital payment platforms - the more users, the greater the value of the platform to each user (such as richer payment scenarios), which forms a strong positive cycle and builds extremely high industry barriers.

At the level of industrial organization and innovation, the theory of disruptive innovation provides a perspective for understanding the impact of digital payment enterprises on the traditional financial industry. Digital payments started as a simpler and more convenient payment solution, starting from the marginal markets underserved by the traditional banking system (such as small and high-frequency transactions), and eventually eroded the core payment business of banks upwards [5]. In addition, the theory of platform economics reveals the essence of super apps such as Alipay and WeChat Pay - they are not simple payment tools, but multilateral platforms that connect consumers, merchants, financial institutions and other service providers, and leverage the value of the entire ecosystem through the underlying „traffic entrance“ of payment.

At the level of monetary economics, the popularization of digital payments directly challenges traditional monetary theories and measurements. On the one hand, it can change the stability of money demand by improving transaction efficiency, which may affect the velocity of money, which poses a challenge to the policy framework based on the Quantity Theory of Money. On the other hand, new forms of digital currencies such as stablecoins and central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) blur the boundaries of „monetary aggregates (such as M0, M1, M2“) and raise new questions about the statistics, definitions, and regulatory effectiveness of money supply [3].

Existing research has touched on the impact of digital payments from different perspectives. On the consumer side, many empirical studies confirm the promotion effect of digital payment on consumption. Huang Yiping and Huang Zhuo found that digital inclusive finance (payment-based) has significantly increased consumption levels among Chinese residents, especially for rural and low-income groups [5-7]. A study by Bounie based on French transaction data shows that during the pandemic, digital payments not only replaced offline cash payments, but also stimulated consumer spending, reflecting the coexistence of „online-offline substitution effect“ and „total consumption expansion effect“.

At the industrial level, research focuses on competition and integration. Agur explores the potential „monopoly“ landscape of digital payment giants and their dual impact on banking competition and user data privacy. Arner reviews the evolution of FinTech from a broader perspective, arguing that it is reshaping the financial paradigm in the post-crisis era, forcing traditional financial institutions to shift from „reactive response“ to „active integration“.

At the level of monetary policy, academic discussions are still in the cutting-edge exploration stage. Yi Gang systematically discussed the challenges of digital finance to the regulatory system earlier. Sheng Songcheng and Ma Jun conducted an in-depth analysis of the potential impact of digital currency on the transmission mechanism of monetary policy and financial stability, emphasizing its possible enhancement effect on the effectiveness of interest rate transmission, and also warning of the contagion of financial risks that may intensify during periods of panic.

However, most of the existing research is carried out from a single dimension, and there is a lack of a systematic analysis framework that integrates micro behavior, meso-industry and macro policy. This study aims to make up for this shortcoming and reveal the internal linkage mechanism between the three through a mixed research method [8-10].

3.2 Digital payment reshapes consumer behavior: based on empirical testing

Based on the above theoretical framework, this chapter aims to answer the core question 1 through quantitative analysis and case analysis: How does digital payment reshape consumers‘ payment habits and decision-making models from the dimensions of efficiency, trust and social interaction?

To conduct quantitative testing, this study integrates macro consumption data from the National Bureau of Statistics, Internet development report data from the China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC) and refers to the online questionnaire survey data of residents in first- to fourth-tier cities (valid sample N=2000). The questionnaire designed a five-point Likert scale to measure latent variables such as consumers‘ perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, trust (confidence in platform security and privacy protection), and social impact (degree of use by those around them).

To test the relationship, we establish an econometric model where the explained variable is the annual consumption expenditure of individual *i*. The core explanatory variable is digital payment adoption, measured through a comprehensive index reflecting both the frequency and the amount of digital payment usage. The control variables include individual income, age, and education level, as well as city-level characteristics and other relevant factors.

3.3 Analysis of empirical results

The results of regression analysis showed that the coefficient β1 of the variable Digital_Payment_Adoption was significantly positive at the level of 1%. This suggests that there is a significant positive correlation between the adoption of digital payments and personal consumption expenditure after controlling for other factors. This result supports the hypothesis of the „consumption expansion effect“. The internal mechanism can be further explained by survey data:

Efficiency dimension: convenience triggers consumption impulses. More than 85% of respondents believe that the extreme convenience of digital payments (especially

ISSN 2959-6130

QR code payment) reduces the „pain of payment“ when paying, making it easier to make small, high-frequency instant consumption, thereby subtly increasing total consumption expenditure. The seamless experience of online payments has also greatly contributed to the development of e-commerce.

Trust dimension: Security perception lays the foundation for use. In the early days, user trust in digital payments was the main barrier to adoption. As platforms build credibility through technology (e.g., cryptography, tokenization), institutions (e.g., payout mechanisms), and brand endorsements, user trust becomes the foundation for continued use and large-value transactions. Data analysis shows that users with high trust in the platform‘s security have significantly higher limits on digital payment transaction amounts.

Social interaction and scene integration: from payment tools to lifestyle. WeChat Pay‘s „red envelope“ function is a classic case of interpreting social interaction. It deeply integrates payment and social blessings, creating new payment needs in specific scenarios. The same is true for Alipay‘s integrated travel, government affairs, life payment and other scenarios. The questionnaire survey shows that strong scene integration is the most important source of user stickiness, and payment behavior has been deeply embedded in the „digital fabric“ of daily life.

3.4 Further discussion: Saving and financial behavior

The impact of digital payments extends beyond consumption. Through built-in money market funds such as Yuebao, it has greatly lowered the threshold for savings and financial management, promoted the trend of „fragmented financial management“, and had an impact on the savings structure of residents. However, its credit features such as „enjoy now, pay later“ (BNPL) may also induce some consumers, especially young people, to spend ahead of time and increase household debt risk. This shows that the impact of digital payments on consumer financial behavior is a „double-edged sword“ that needs to be paid attention to.

Digital payment companies have profoundly changed the competitive ecology and innovation path of financial services from the payment link. This chapter aims to answer the core question 2: What kind of strategic transformation and innovation are traditional financial institutions undergoing?

3.5 Impact: competition from “crossovers”

The rise of digital payment platforms has introduced intense competition from so-called “cross-sector entrants,” fundamentally reshaping the traditional bank-dominated payment and clearing system that once provided stable intermediary income for financial institutions. By disintermediating banks and intercepting payment flows, these platforms have gained access to vast amounts of user behavior and transaction data—resources often described as the “new oil,” which traditional banks largely lack. Leveraging this data advantage, platform companies have progressively expanded into the core business domains of banking. In credit, for example, they offer microloan products based on transaction records, such as the “310 model” of MYbank, which enables loan applications in three minutes, disbursements in one second, and requires zero manual intervention. In wealth management, “money market fund”–type products attract long-tail users’ idle funds, diverting deposits away from banks. In credit assessment, big data–driven risk control models challenge the conventional reliance on collateral and financial statements. This competition extends beyond specific business lines to the very foundations of commercial models, where the traditional “product-centered” approach of banks faces a profound challenge from the “user-centered” approach of digital platforms (Itai Agur et al., 2024).

In the face of the impact, the traditional banking industry did not sit still, but launched a series of strategic transformations and innovations:

Digital transformation and construction of their own payment tools: Major banks have increased investment in technology, launched their own apps (such as „handheld life“), QR code payment services, and connected to networks such as UnionPay UnionPay QuickPass, aiming to rebuild direct contact with users and regain payment entrances.

Open Banking strategy: Banks realize that „cooperation is better than confrontation“. Open data and services through API interfaces, cooperate with technology companies and financial technology platforms, seamlessly embed their financial products into various consumption scenarios, and transform from „channel providers“ to „product suppliers“.

Service deepening and differentiated competition: Banks use their capital costs, risk control experience and offline branches to focus on areas where digital payment platforms are still weak, such as large enterprise financing, complex investment and financing solutions, and wealth management for high-net-worth customers to differentiate competition.

The current pattern is not a simple substitution, but a move towards co-opetition and integration. Digital payment platforms require bank fund custody, compliance, and underlying account support. Banks need the platform‘s traffic, scenarios, and data capabilities. The two sides learn from each other in competition and reposition themselves in cooperation, jointly promoting the formation of a new financial ecosystem centered on user experience, data-driven, and highly scenario-based.

The popularization of digital payments is changing the form and circulation of money from the basic level, thus posing a systemic challenge to the monetary policy framework of central banks. This chapter aims to answer the core question 3: How does digital payment affect the transmission mechanism and effectiveness of monetary policy?

Traditionally, central banks have divided monetary hierarchies according to liquidity differences (M0 = cash in circulation, M1 = M0 + corporate demand deposits, M2 = M1 + savings deposits, etc.). The popularity of digital payments has obscured the nature of a large number of „customer reserves“ stuck in payment platform accounts. It is not M0 (non-cash), but it is extremely liquid, almost equivalent to a demand deposit. Although the People‘s Bank of China has now required 100% centralized depository of reserves, its corresponding currency level is still controversial. More importantly, money market funds such as Yuebao, whose shares can be used for consumer payments at any time, have extremely strong monetary attributes, blurring the boundaries of M2. This makes it more difficult for central banks to accurately measure money supply, and accurate measurement is the prerequisite for effective regulation.

Speed of Money (V): The theoretical community is divided on how digital payments affect V. One side believes that improving payment efficiency will accelerate monetary turnover, leading to an increase in V; The other side argues that digital payment tools such as Yuebao increase the attractiveness of holding currencies and may make V unstable. The uncertainty of V increases the difficulty of formulating policies based on the quantity equation of money (MV=PY).

Interest Rate Transmission Channels: Digital payment platforms enhance the efficiency and connectivity of financial markets. The money market fund products it offers provide a near-perfect alternative to bank deposits, thereby strengthening competition among banks for deposits. This allows the change in policy interest rate to be transmitted to bank deposit interest rates more quickly and fully, thereby affecting the interest rate level of the entire market, potentially enhancing the effectiveness of the interest rate transmission channel (Sheng Songcheng & Ma Jun,).

The rise of digital payments also brings new financial stability risks. The systemic importance of large payment platforms (Too Big to Fail) In the event of a risk event, it can quickly spread to the entire financial system through network effects. In addition, if digital currencies issued by the private sector, such as global stablecoins, are widely used for payments, it will challenge the status of sovereign currencies and weaken monetary policy sovereignty.

To this end, central banks are actively developing central bank digital currencies (CBDCs). CBDCs are seen as a „key starting point“ to address the challenges of digital payments. It is not only a legal tender form in the era of digital payment, but also provides a new policy tool for central banks. For example, CBDCs could theoretically support „programmable money,“ allowing central banks to more accurately invest money in specific areas (such as financial inclusion) or even implement unconventional monetary policies (such as negative interest rates, which will technically be more achievable). As Yi Gang (2018) pointed out, the development of digital finance requires simultaneous upgrades in regulatory frameworks, and CBDCs may be the core cornerstone of building the future digital currency ecosystem, maintaining monetary sovereignty, and financial stability.

3.6 In-depth analysis of cases

In order to enhance the practicality and reliability of the research, two typical cases are selected for in-depth analysis.

Case 1: Alipay - The Evolution from Payment Tools to Digital Financial Ecology Alipay‘s development path is a perfect footnote to „disruptive innovation“ and „platform economics“. It began as a guaranteed payment tool to solve the trust problem of Taobao transactions, and quickly accumulated users with its high convenience. Subsequently, through the launch of „Yuebao“ to enter the field of financial management, relying on „Sesame Credit“ to build risk control capabilities, and introducing financial institutions through an open platform, it has gradually evolved into a digital financial ecological infrastructure that provides a full range of services such as payment, wealth management, credit, and insurance. Its success lies in always focusing on user needs and continuously expanding service boundaries through data-driven, and its impact on the traditional financial industry is comprehensive and profound.

Case 2: ICBC‘s „e-ICBC“ Strategy - Digital Transformation of Traditional Giants As a „cosmic bank“, ICBC‘s response to the impact of digital payment represents a typical transformation path for traditional financial institutions. Its strategy includes: (1) launching the „RongeBank“ APP and „ICBC QR Code Payment“, and building its own payment portal; (2) Create a „financial purchase“ e-commerce platform and try to build its own scenario (although the results remain to be seen); (3) Implement the „API open platform“ strategy, export financial capabilities to partners, and realize the vision of „banks are everywhere, but they are not in banks“. ICBC‘s case shows that traditional banks still have strong counterattack potential in digital transformation with their capital, customers and reputation advantages, and the future pattern will be competition between ecology and ecology.

ISSN 2959-6130

4. Conclusion

This study shows that digital payments are not a peripheral convenience but a systemic force reshaping consumer behavior, the financial services landscape, and the conduct of monetary policy. Empirically, higher adoption of mobile payments and e-wallets is associated with greater consumption, driven by efficiency (reduced "payment pain"), rising trust in security and guarantees, and the embedding of payments within social and everyday scenarios. Yet the same frictions removed on the front end can amplify back-end risks: BNPL features, and always-on credit raise the likelihood of over-extension among younger users, making financial literacy and guardrails essential.

At the industry level, platform entrants leverage data network effects to disintermediate banks across payments, credit, and wealth, while incumbent institutions respond with open-banking strategies, API partnerships, and digitized service models. The emerging equilibrium is co-opetitive: platforms still require bank custody, compliance, and settlement rails; banks benefit from platforms' traffic, analytics, and scenario reach. Case evidence from Alipay and ICBC illustrates these complementary capabilities in practice.

For monetary policy, widespread digital payments blur monetary aggregates, destabilize money-demand relationships, and may alter velocity, complicating quantity-based frameworks. At the same time, intensified deposit competition can strengthen interest-rate transmission. Central bank digital currencies offer a potential anchor—preserving monetary sovereignty, enabling programmable policy tools, and raising the bar for resilience and privacy—provided they adopt a two-tier, interoperable, and proportionate-privacy design.

References

[1] Itai Agur, Anil Ari, Giovanni Dell'Ariccia, & Li Lili (2024). Bank competition and household privacy in digital payment monopolies. New Finance, 3, 36–43.

[2] Qiu Huiying (2024). WeChat & Alipay: Leading the new wave of digital economy. Science and Technology Innovation and Brand, 5, 52–54.

[3] Sheng Songcheng & Ma Jun (2020). The impact of digital currency on monetary policy and financial stability. International Finance Research, 3, 3–12.

[4] Dahlberg, T., Guo, J., & Ondrus, J. (2015). A critical review of mobile payment research. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 14(5), 265–284.

[5] Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm? Georgetown Journal of International Law, 47, 1271–1319.

[6] Bounie, D., Camara, Y., & Galbraith, J. W. (2022). Consumers' mobility, expenditure and online–offline substitution response to COVID-19: Evidence from French transaction data. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 193, 365–392.

[7] Fung, B. S. C., & Halaburda, H. (2016). Central bank digital currencies: A framework for assessing why and how. Bank of Canada Staff Discussion Paper 2016-22.

[8] Yi Gang (2018). Development and regulation of digital finance. Journal of Financial Research, 7, 1–12.

[9] Huang Yiping & Huang Zhuo (2017). Digital inclusive finance and consumer behavior. Economic Research, 52(8), 71–86.

[10] Guo Feng (2019). Current situation, trends, and regulatory reflections on mobile payment development. China Finance, 5, 58–60.