Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-6130

Pricing Strategy Dynamics of Streaming Giants and Their Impacts on Consumer Decision-Making Behaviors

Aohan Zhang[1, *]

[1]Sauder School of Business, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada
*Corresponding author: zhangah2@student.ubc.ca

Abstract:
This paper examines how pricing strategies shape consumer decision-making in the increasingly competitive global streaming market, using Netflix and Disney+ as leading cases. Employing a comparative case study approach, the analysis focuses on subscription tiering, bundling practices, regional pricing variations, and account-sharing bans to understand how such strategies affect adoption, retention, and customer loyalty. Findings reveal that consumers are influenced not only by functional price points but also by psychological reference prices, intellectual property strength, and brand-driven marketing. Netflix emphasizes innovation through flexible tiering and differentiated packages designed to cater to varied consumer segments, while Disney+ relies more heavily on affordability, bundling with related services, and capitalizing on brand loyalty tied to its extensive content library. Together, these contrasting strategies highlight different pathways to achieving growth and sustainability in a highly competitive environment. More broadly, the study contributes to understanding how pricing policies, when combined with strong branding and IP advantages, can stimulate consumer engagement in digital subscription markets.

Keywords: Streaming industry; Pricing strategies; Price perception; Brand loyalty; Dynamic pricing

1. Introduction

The rise of streaming platforms has fundamentally reshaped the global entertainment industry. Netflix disrupted traditional broadcast and physical distribution by introducing on-demand, internet-based services, like Spotify's impact on music [1]. This success transformed cable TV and set new standards for global content consumption. In 2019, Disney+ entered the market, leveraging its strong brand image and content ecosystem. Within a few years, it became Netflix's main competitor, showing that competition now depends not only on pricing but also on exclusive IP rights.

This transformation also changed consumer behavior. Viewers once reliant on linear TV now expect flexibility, personalization, and large content libraries.

ISSN 2959-6130

Streaming enhances control over schedules, recommendations, and devices, increasing expectations and rights. Price sensitivity is tied to content presentation, as consumers assess subscription cost-effectiveness, consistent with findings that psychological price perception influences loyalty [2]. Thus, streaming adoption reshaped discovery and consumption, intensifying competition [3].
Pricing emerged as a key success factor, directly affecting engagement, retention, and profitability. Disney+ relies on its IP ecosystem (Marvel, Star Wars, Pixar) to build loyalty, with bundling and regional pricing strategies. For example, Disney+ collaborates with Hulu and ESPN+ for competitive bundles, consistent with research showing bundling boosts growth [4]. In India, Disney+ Hotstar uses low-cost pricing for mass adoption. These strategies attract users and reduce churn through fan-based retention.
This study investigates how pricing strategies affect consumer purchasing in streaming, focusing on: (1) how bundling and tiering shape perceptions of value and fairness, (2) how exclusive content and IP-driven loyalty affect willingness to pay, and (3) how account-sharing restrictions influence churn and revenue. Using a comparative case study of Netflix and Disney+, this paper integrates literature and market reports, applying behavioral economics to analyze bundling, content differentiation, and dynamic pricing. The study explains how pricing strategies drive adoption, loyalty, and revenue, while extending theoretical pricing models to digital services.

2. Case Background

2.1 Netflix Introduction

The final part—a section of conclusion is to sum up the key implications of the study as well as to suggest future research directions for the topic of pricing mechanisms and consumer behavior in the streaming industry. Netflix was founded in 1997 and initially operated as a DVD rental service by mail, providing customers with the convenience of home delivery at a time when video rental stores still dominated the market. This innovative model eliminated the need for late fees, a feature that quickly differentiated Netflix from traditional rental competitors such as Blockbuster. In 2007, recognizing the rapid growth of broadband internet and changing consumer preferences, the company transitioned into an online streaming platform and pioneered the subscription-based video-on-demand (SVOD) model. This shift fundamentally disrupted conventional broadcasting and physical distribution channels by offering consumers flexible, on-demand access to entertainment content anytime and anywhere.
The company is built on a business model to charge customers subscription fees and give them access to a large library with unlimited access, a model whose consumer impacts parallel subscription-based platforms like Spotify [5]. To solidify its value, Netflix has actively plowed and re-plowed revenue by investing in original content, making original series and films, which you can't find anywhere else.
Netflix's investment in original content—such as *House of Cards*, *Stranger Things*, and *Squid Game*—illustrates how exclusive content builds competitive advantage and strengthens consumer loyalty, aligning with prior work on how digital content availability reshapes demand [6].
These programs are not only designed to bring in new subscribers, but they are also cultural milestones that raise the profile of brands in many markets. By 2025, Netflix will operate in more than 190 countries and regions, with over 260 million paying subscribers, making it the largest streaming platform worldwide. Its global expansion strategy relies on a dual approach: content localization through partnerships with regional creators and scalable technological infrastructure capable of supporting personalized user experiences across markets. Advanced data-driven recommendation algorithms play a crucial role in retaining subscribers by tailoring viewing suggestions to individual preferences, thereby increasing engagement and reducing churn. Together, these factors have allowed Netflix to maintain its dominant position in the SVOD industry for over a decade, while also setting benchmarks for competitors entering the digital entertainment market.

2.2 Disney+ Introduction

Part of the broader strategy of The Walt Disney Company is to position itself in the digital entertainment ecosystem that is digital. The model of SVOD was pioneered through technological innovation by Netflix; unlike which, Disney+ decisively utilizes its parent company's unrivaled property rights portfolio. This includes names such as Marvel, Pixar, Star Wars, National Geographic, and Disney's own time-honored animated movies.
With all this pre-existing content behind it as an immediate advantage commercially, Disney+ came into existence in the mind of the public not only as a streaming site but also as something that extended legacy family entertainment by Disney.
Disney+ was, in terms of strategy, from the beginning aiming at homes and groups of people, rather than individuals. Its content strategy lay upon the twin pillars of accessibility and inclusion: classic family favorites were mingled in with great sweeping franchises, plus new original series aimed exclusively at its platform. By introducing original shows such as The Mandalorian and Marvel's Wanda Vision, Disney+ quickly became a cultural phenomenon. This strategy brought fast uptake of the service and further allegiance from its existing fans. The company was able to create an emotional bond with its

users by providing both content and an interface that was user-friendly. In this way, Disney+ offered a unique value proposition compared to its competitors.

The platform was the fastest growing of all time. Within three years, Disney+ achieved over 160 million subscribers worldwide. Disney+ generated fast global growth and seemed formidably powerful among streaming services. It pursued a business strategy that combined cheap entry pricing with bundled offerings soon after launch alongside Hulu and ESPN, which increased perception for consumers into being ‚family entertainment.‘ Moreover, ‚churn‘ was lower overall thanks to all these networks.

Disney+ also heavily benefited from international expansion; Disney+ Hotstar dominated the Indian market with its localized low-cost pay model. Furthermore, Disney was able to take advantage of synergies in all its businesses: from theme parks to theatrical releases and merchandise. This created a cross-platform ecosystem that promoted consumer engagement. As a result, it was these approaches that caused Disney+ to quickly upend Netflix as the streaming leader of the industry: from then on, its challenge has grown increasingly credible.

3. Comparative Analysis of Business Pricing Strategies

3.1 Netflix’s Pricing Strategy

Netflix has designed a tiered subscription system that caters to different consumer segments by offering varied levels of affordability and service quality. At the entry level, it provides a low-cost plan supported by advertisements, which allows subscribers to enjoy monthly viewing at a significantly reduced price point, though the streaming quality is only mediocre to moderate compared to higher tiers. Above this, the company offers an intermediate plan where streaming quality stands between the two extremes, appealing to users who are willing to pay slightly more for an improved experience without committing to the full premium. The standard plan includes all features available in what is often referred to as the “classic package,” delivering a balanced option for the average household by combining reasonable pricing with a comprehensive set of services. At the top of the structure, Netflix offers its premium package, which not only delivers the highest streaming quality and multi-device support but also includes additional benefits such as up to six digitally downloadable titles free of charge each month. Together, these tiered plans reflect Netflix’s strategy of segmenting its audience based on willingness to pay, thereby ensuring that it can attract budget-conscious viewers while also maximizing revenue from consumers who seek enhanced features and exclusive value.

As respectability imposes a constraint on consumer choice, Netflix‘s pricing itself is segmented. Underpinning the design is not only the limitations and requirements of different people but also their willingness to pay. Thus, in this respect, pricing stratifies as well. This segmentation reflects Netflix‘s recognition of the differences among consumers in their willingness to pay and maximizes its ability to make a profit from subsets of the population. By offering flexibility in price and features, Netflix draws in budget-conscious users while its premium subscribers contribute a larger portion of overall sales.

3.2 Disney+’s Pricing Strategy

Disney+ took a different approach. They prioritized affordability and accessibility in their market entry strategy. They initially set their subscription price significantly below the industry average. Not only did this reduce the barriers to switching platforms for users, but it also ensured the swift adoption of its product. The more aggressive low-priced entry point was particularly effective in attracting families and loyal existing Disney fans, many of whom were already living in the company‘s established ecosystem of intellectual property. Disney+ has since adjusted its prices (has since adjusted its plans?) to match market norms more closely. However, it has been doing so by promoting access to Hulu and ESPN+ in additional packages. This pricing strategy has added value and brought in further loyal customers. The bundling programs not only gave the perception of more value to the customer but also meant that Disney could present an entire entertainment package. This being the case, they retain those long-term subscribers.

3.3 Comparative Insights

Adding these two pricing strategies together, it is possible to see clearly differing approaches:

Netflix provides diversified service tiers as a revenue optimization strategy, catering to different consumer segments with varying willingness to pay. Disney+ provides an exceptionally low entry price and bundles of content to induce a quick intake of new subscribers who are then hooked because they have nowhere else to go.

Of particular interest are both pricing models‘ relationships with enterprise scale, especially where large-scale installations are concerned. At scale, the first priority is rapid subscriber base expansion.

Netflix‘s moneymaker is subscription income, which represents the major portion of its income. For this reason, Netflix spends most of its money to build up the production system: to safeguard, on an ongoing basis, a competitive advantage. Its headline series, Stranger Things and The Crown, are prime examples of how exclusive content increases both subscription and viewer retention. This

ISSN 2959-6130

first-content-driven strategy has high operational costs, with Netflix spending tens of billions of USD in production and licensing bills each year. Such financial commitments mean that the company is constantly driven to raise subscription prices just to preserve profits and secure long-term survival. While increasing average revenue per user (ARPU), these price hikes have also, on occasions, led to protests from users and a higher churn rate—highlighting the dangers of relying too much on one source of income.

In contrast, Disney+ benefits from the Walt Disney Company's diversified business portfolio, which provides it with multiple revenue streams. Besides appealing pricing metrics compared to their rivals, Netflix or HBO Max, and optional monthly subscription rates designed to remain affordable for large households, supported by promotional pricing strategies on digital platforms. Disney+ symbiosis with the global box office means that a significant proportion of its users is visiting cinemas outside China.

Beyond subscriptions, Disney+ capitalizes on the ability to reuse content across different formats and markets, from theatrical releases to home entertainment and television syndication. Bundling together Hulu and ESPN+, practically creating a grand new entertainment ecosystem for users, the platform also enhances value through family combinations, available in the same vein as package subscriptions or premium channels. Since its stage of initial release, Disney hit films have become a multi-billion-dollar franchise as seasonal theme parks generate profit based on sales of the related toys, clothing, and other products. This multi-channel configuration reduces dependence on subscription fees alone and provides greater financial resilience than Netflix.

In addition, Disney's close ties with theme parks, cruise lines, the cruise operations, and merchandise create a unique cross-platform revenue cycle: Characters debuted via Disney+ material often go on to bring in extra income from toys, clothes, and theme park entertainment.

In 2022, Netflix introduced an ad version to attract new customers and increase revenue from advertisers, raising the classic "fee or free" trade-off that firms face when pricing digital content [7]. In contrast, Disney+ did not launch with advertising but rather placed top priority on building an ad-free premium brand image. In 2023, it co-created an ad-supported version and maintained this image by remaining careful in the histrionics department (communication) rather than being swayed by outside voices. Completely different positions on advertising point to brand differences: Netflix seeks flexibility and scale, while Disney+ focuses mainly on keeping its image effective and discreet in order to expand its opportunities for profit within carefully confined limits (since once these changes are made, the entire structure must change).

Both Netflix and Disney+ have adopted country-specific pricing strategies for managing subscription penetration and remaining competitive in diverse markets. India is the best example of this, because although the country's subscription price averages half what a customer in North America or Europe might pay, it is still a big market like those just named.

In addition, Netflix uses advanced data analytics and artificial intelligence to monitor subscriber behavior, predict risks of churn, and adapt its pricing experiments accordingly. These tools enable the company to try out different price points, introduce mobile-only subscription tiers in emerging markets, and tailor discounts in such a way that adoption is maximized while potential revenue losses are controlled.

Similarly, Disney+ is also aware of the effectiveness of dynamic pricing. But its specific strategy is closely based on their regional operations and native brand. In India, Disney+ Hotstar used a simple strategy to attract one billion people… This aggressive localization strategy meant that Disney+ was able to rapidly multiply the number of subscribers within one of the most price-sensitive countries in Asia, consistent with findings on Disney's international consumer behavior and marketing strategies [8]. Furthermore, Disney+ has tried package discounts and promotions in areas where the brand isn't as strong to entice customers with lower prices for long-term loyalty.

4. Comparative Analysis of Consumer Behavior

In total, these experiments in dynamic pricing highlight it as a key lever for growth, retention, and market penetration. More importantly, they show that in the increasingly saturated streaming industry, successful platforms will not just have distinguished their content. Instead, they will continually adapt pricing models to regional economic conditions and customer expectations, which is consistent with research highlighting the role of cognitive factors in shaping consumers' perceived value and subscription intentions [9]. Netflix has garnered all kinds of consumers, from school-going kids to middle-class families. This is due to its good reputation in content innovation and continual storytelling. Netflix's wide range of audience loves originality, serials, one binge, and personalized features.

Disney+ points mainly at families and hardcore fans of Disney's classic series. Marvel, Pixar, and Star Wars have launched an intense fan economy, where their subscribers' strong emotional attachment leads to high loyalty and active retention.

Users of Netflix fill their time with the service on many different gadgets: they have smartphones, tablets, and smart TVs. This shows their strong emphasis on flexibility, especially when watching an entire series in one sitting. Users of Disney+ linger on family-friendly content whisked into the home on big, family-sized screens. Their favorites are animated movies, fantasy dramas, and family series.

Although Netflix has privileged user engagement data, after raising its subscription fees, the user turnover rate has risen markedly. Disney+ has a stable base of loyal fans, so its user retention rate is relatively stable. But in markets with weaker brand awareness, it still looks somewhat vulnerable.

Netflix has carefully created an image for itself through its content, positioning original series as a cultural phenomenon that people can identify with. This „content as brand“ strategy deepens user loyalty by presenting Netflix as an image closely related to innovation and distinctiveness. In contrast, Disney+ mainly depends on trustworthy brands and nostalgic feelings. Subscribers typically continue to subscribe because the characters and series have a deep emotional pull on them, meaning that Disney+ is less reliant upon continuous content innovation.

Altogether, these strategies show two different psychological routes to encouraging consumers to maintain a subscription: one based on the excitement brought by innovation, and the other based on confidence in old knowledge that has stood with us for generations.

Netflix‘s repeated price hikes have elicited diverse reactions from consumers. Some subscribers are willing to pay higher fees to access high-quality content, while others - especially those living in price-sensitive areas - choose to cancel their subscriptions. Account sharing restrictions have further exacerbated consumers‘ dissatisfaction, but this has also successfully converted some non-paying viewers into subscribers. Disney+ has adopted a more cautious approach, implementing moderate price increases and alleviating dissatisfaction through promotional activities that emphasize the continuous provision of value through package forms. This strategy has reduced user churn rates and maintained a good brand image, highlighting the importance of carefully crafting information dissemination during price changes.

In contrast, Netflix focuses on a flexible subscription model and a differentiated content strategy. Its pricing structure includes basic ad-supported packages, standard packages, and premium packages, which can meet the diverse payment willingness of different consumers In 2023, Netflix implemented account sharing restrictions, prompting free users to switch to paid subscriptions and increasing the average revenue per user (ARPU), reflecting broader patterns in how enforcement policies can reshape digital content demand [10]. Additionally, Netflix has concentrated on investing in original content, such as „The Squid Game“ and „House of Cards“, which have repeatedly demonstrated that exclusive and high-quality productions can stimulate consumption and subscription growth, as well as increase long-term user retention rates. Consumer reactions indicate that they are willing to pay more for exclusive access rights, but strict policies may also lead to the loss of some users. Beyond individual consumer behavior, both platforms face broader industry-wide challenges that threaten profitability and long-term growth.

5. Problem and Challenge Analysis

The streaming media industry is facing structural challenges, which have an impact on both Netflix and Disney+. Firstly, the continuous increase in content production costs has put huge pressure on profitability. Netflix‘s original programming strategy requires a significant amount of capital investment, while Disney+ must continuously invest in the production of content of the caliber of blockbusters to maintain the continuous attention of its fans. The competition in the content production field is becoming increasingly fierce, which may lead to an unsustainable cost structure for the entire industry.

Secondly, user growth is slowing down. In mature markets such as North America and Europe, user penetration rates have already approached saturation. Due to the reduction in the number of unexplored consumers, the platforms‘ expenditures on customer acquisition have gradually reduced their marginal benefits.

Thirdly, the competition for user attention is becoming increasingly fierce. Platforms like Douyin and alternative entertainment options are dividing users‘ viewing time, making user retention more difficult, echoing research on how time-scarcity features in digital environments trigger impulsive consumption and attention shifts [11]. Both Netflix and Disney+ are enhancing user stickiness through algorithmic recommendations and personalized experiences, but consumer attention remains a scarce resource.

Finally, price adjustments carry brand risks. Netflix‘s significant price hikes highlight the dangers of alienating users, damaging user goodwill, and accelerating user churn. Disney’s more cautious pricing strategy demonstrates a method of achieving revenue growth while maintaining brand reputation, but as operating costs rise, it will also face greater pressure to test the acceptance of consumers.

6. Conclusion

In summary, the primary challenge for these two companies lies in balancing cost efficiency, user retention, and sustainable pricing strategies. How to find a balance among these factors will determine whether they can maintain competitive advantage and profitability in the constantly changing streaming media environment.

This paper examines the core of the differentiated pricing schemes and consumer habits of the world‘s two stream-

ISSN 2959-6130

ing media titans: Netflix and Disney+. The subscription-based video-on-demand model was first introduced by Netflix, and it operates a multiple-tier pay scheme. It has invested heavily in original content as well. Disney+ came into the market with a lower initial price and bundled services, making use of its parent company's rich intellectual property resources. Comparative analysis brings out the following important differences in both companies' profit models: Netflix continues to rely primarily on subscription revenue as its core source of income, a strategy designed to offset the high costs associated with producing original content. In contrast, Disney+ benefits from a diversified revenue structure, drawing income not only from subscriptions but also from cross-platform cooperation, merchandise sales, theatrical releases, and theme parks, which makes its business model more resilient. From a consumer behavior perspective, the two platforms also display clear differences in their audience motives and perceptions. Netflix attracts a broad spectrum of users, ranging from young individuals to families, and its appeal is largely driven by continuous content innovation, personalized recommendation services, and the phenomenon of binge-watching, which together create a dynamic and engaging experience. Disney+, on the other hand, primarily targets households and dedicated fans of its established franchises, such as Marvel, Pixar, and Star Wars, leveraging strong emotional loyalty and nostalgia toward its classic IPs to ensure stable retention. Public reactions to pricing strategies further highlight these contrasts. Netflix has frequently faced consumer backlash due to its repeated price increases and enforcement of account-sharing restrictions, which, while boosting average revenue per user, also risk accelerating subscriber churn. By comparison, Disney+ has adopted a more cautious and consumer-friendly approach, implemented gradual price adjustments, and offered bundled packages that emphasize additional value, thereby reducing the likelihood of customer dissatisfaction and maintaining a positive brand image.

Both enterprises, though having their own success stories, are faced with similar quandaries: rising content production charges, unimpressive user growth, and a struggle to draw consumer attention, together with the risks inherent in price adjustments.

Balancing cost control, user retention, and steady income growth continues to be the key to long-term competitiveness. Forthcoming work in this field should explore how emerging technology—especially personalized recommendation systems and artificial intelligence-based content strategies—affects both pricing models and consumer decisions.

As artificial intelligence gradually becomes an integral part of the pricing and content distribution processes of streaming media platforms, investigating how these innovative methods impact people's attitudes and behavior is vitally important to predictions about market dynamics and optimizing the business model.

References

[1] Wlömert, N., & Papies, D. (2016). On-demand streaming services and music industry revenues—Insights from Spotify's market entry. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 33(2), 314–327.

[2] Azhar, D. (2025). Price perception and repeated buying: How psychology shapes consumer loyalty. The National High School Journal of Science, NHSJS Reports, 1–12. https://www.paradigmpress.org/fms/article/view/1161

[3] Datta, H., Knox, G., & Bronnenberg, B. J. (2018). Changing their tune: How consumers' adoption of online streaming affects music consumption and discovery. Marketing Science, 37(1), 5–21.

[4] Thomas, R., Sarvaiya, H., & Bhat, S. (2025). A study on subscription bundling and its effect on subscriber growth. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering, Technology and Science, 7(3), 11426–11433. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12665.38249

[5] Gajendra, G. S., & Shetty, R. (2025). The impact of subscription-based models on consumer behavior: A comparative study of Netflix and Spotify. International Journal of Advanced Research, 13(6), 16–24. https://doi.org/10.21474/ijar01/21060

[6] Oestreicher-Singer, G., & Zalmanson, L. (2013). Content or community? A digital business strategy for content providers in the social age. MIS Quarterly, 591–616.

[7] Lambrecht, A., & Misra, K. (2017). Fee or free: When should firms charge for online content? Management Science, 63(4), 1150–1165.

[8] Luo, S. (2024). Research on the analysis of Disney+ consumer behavior and marketing strategy based on R language. In SHS Web of Conferences (Vol. 207, p. 01010). EDP Sciences.

[9] Wu, T., Jiang, N., & Chen, M. (2025). The role of cognitive factors in consumers' perceived value and subscription intention of video streaming platforms. Acta Psychologica, 254, 104758.

[10] Danaher, B., Smith, M. D., Telang, R., & Chen, S. (2014). The effect of graduated response anti-piracy laws on music sales: Evidence from an event study in France. The Journal of Industrial Economics, 62(3), 541–553.

[11] Hao, S., & Huang, L. (2023). How the time-scarcity feature of live-streaming e-commerce affects impulsive buying. The Service Industries Journal, 43(11-12), 875-895.