Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-6130

Exploring the Development Path of Co-Branding Strategies from the Perspective of Consumer Perception

Luling Zhuang

Straits School of Business and Trade, Xiamen University of Technology, Xiamen, Fujian, China
zll18030015189@outlook.com

Abstract:
Co-branding has received growing academic and managerial attention, yet existing research often focuses on strategy design while overlooking how evolving consumer perceptions shape co-branding outcomes. This paper analyzes the development path of co-branding strategies through the lens of consumer perception. Findings reveal that consumer evaluations have shifted from traditional emphasis on brand fit to multidimensional criteria encompassing uniqueness, perceived value, cultural resonance, and emotional engagement. Case evidence from both same-category collaborations and cross-category collaboration shows that authenticity, symbolic meaning, and cultural coherence significantly influence purchase intention and brand equity enhancement. Major challenges include brand dilution, fragmented consumer attention, pricing skepticism, misalignment of expectations, and legal uncertainties, which jointly threaten long-term co-branding sustainability. Based on these findings, this paper proposes strategic recommendations: strengthening authenticity and cultural relevance, leveraging digital engagement tools, aligning collaborations with diverse consumer values, balancing price with perceived value, and establishing robust contractual governance to ensure credibility and competitive advantage in dynamic global markets.

Keywords: Co-Branding, Consumer Perception, Brand Image Fit, Perceived Value, Purchase Intention

1. Introduction

With global brand competition intensifying, co-branding has become an important strategy to attract growing corporate attention. It involves two or more brands collaborating to launch distinctive products or campaigns, combining resources to enhance visibility, attract consumers, and expand market reach.

Scholars have examined co-branding from various perspectives. Garcia et al. highlighted the role of brand trust and attitudes in shaping consumer re-

sponses, while Ma identified brand image fit as a key factor influencing purchase intentions [1,2]. More recently, Guo et al. showed that co-brand awareness, brand matching, and product scarcity significantly increase perceived value and purchase intention in competitive markets [3].
Nevertheless, many studies focus on single factors such as brand fit or cultural distance, lacking an integrated framework capturing multiple dimensions of consumer perception, including uniqueness and emotional engagement. In today's vibrant market, a more systematic analysis of how the changing consumer perception shapes the co-brand strategy is needed.
Therefore, this article studies how changes in consumer perception affect the development of co-brand strategy. It analyzes the ever-changing evaluation criteria, explores challenges such as brand dilution and distraction, and puts forward the strategic direction for sustainable co-branding success, providing theoretical insights and practical guidance.

2. Conceptual Foundation and Case Descriptions

2.1 Defining Co-Branding

Co-branding refers to the cooperation between multiple brands to jointly launch products or activities to create added value. Unlike the broader brand alliance, the joint brand is consumer-oriented and directly affects the perception. Its success depends on the mutual transfer of brand assets, and brand image fit and credibility strengthen brand assets [4].

2.2 Consumer Perception in Co-Branding

Consumer awareness mediates the results of joint brands. However, recent work emphasizes perceived value, that is, benefits outweigh costs, and the need for uniqueness, which promotes the acceptance of unconventional products [5]. In addition, cultural distance plays a role in transnational cooperation, shaping authenticity and acceptance.

2.3 Case Descriptions

In order to be consistent with international views, this section classifies joint brands according to the form of cooperation rather than geography. The analysis distinguishes between the same category co-branding (partners sharing the main product areas) and cross-category co-branding (partners across different fields). This lens is mapped to the consumer perception mechanism: projects in the same category usually depend on brand image fit and quality inference, while cross-category projects more often activate the uniqueness, symbolism, and novelty of perception.

2.3.1 Same-category co-branding

As a common form of cooperation, co-brands of the same category use shared product fields to maximize brand image fit and quality signals, which usually generates strong consumers' views on authenticity and credibility.
Supreme & Louis Vuitton has reconfigured the fashion field by integrating street clothing norms with luxury craftsmanship. Cooperation attracts consumers who seek exclusivity with cultural authenticity; perceived symbolic alignment and uniqueness amplify the desirability.
Adidas x Gucci combines performance heritage with luxury aesthetics. In addition to the logo fusion, the capsule also expresses a coherent style narrative, strengthens the image fit, and legalizes high-quality inference, which is the key driving force for good evaluation in the same clothing category.

2.3.2 Cross-category co-branding

As another major form of cooperation, cross-category joint brands connect different product fields to trigger perceived novelty, symbolism, and lifestyle-oriented value creation, usually transforming functional consumption into a multi-dimensional cultural experience.
White Rabbit & Maxam (candy & cosmetics) transforms nostalgic flavors into lip care forms, transforms cultural memories into emotional participation, and enhances perceived value through symbolic resonance.
Starbucks & Spotify (cafe ecosystem & music streaming) connect physical and digital touchpoints, provide a rich contextual experience, and enhance cross-field novelty, co-creation and daily identity signals.

3. Evolution and New Characteristics of Consumer Perceptions

3.1 From Traditional Fit to Multi-Dimensional Evaluation

Consumers' perceptions of joint brands have changed through a clear stage. Initially, the evaluation mainly focused on brand fit - the alignment of images, categories or attributes - so cooperation with powerful functions or image consistency was considered credible and attractive.
With the diversification of the market, consumers began to consider symbolism, cultural identity and personal relevance, expecting co-branded products to reflect lifestyle and emotional value, not just functional quality. The following chapters analyze the specific dimensions that shape these ever-changing consumer perceptions.

3.2 Emphasis on Perceived Uniqueness

One of the most prominent new features of consumer cognition is to place greater emphasis on uniqueness. In the

ISSN 2959-6130

era of mass production and global consumption, consumers--especially the younger generation--are increasingly attracted by products with uniqueness and personality. In terms of its nature, co-branding provides an opportunity for unique products to stand out in the saturated market.
The research of Mazodier and Merunka emphasizes the role of the demand for uniqueness as a psychological driver of purchase intentions in the context of joint branding [6]. Similarly, Yan showed how the symbol design choices in co-branded products convey exclusivity and allow consumers to send identity differentiation signals [7]. For example, Nike's limited edition sneaker collaboration with artists such as Travis Scott or Virgil Abloh has achieved extraordinary success, not only because of the functional quality, but also because they satisfy consumers' desire for uniqueness. This trend illustrates how uniqueness has become a key perspective for consumers to view joint brands.

3.3 Integration of Perceived Value

Another major shift in consumer perception is the increasing emphasis on perceived value. In addition to evaluating the functional or aesthetic attributes of co-branded products, consumers now consider the overall balance between benefits and costs. This includes functional utility, symbolic meaning, emotional satisfaction, and even social currency obtained through ownership.
Yang and Qiao believe that perceived value mediates the relationship between co-branded product attributes and purchase intentions [8]. Similarly, Lu et al. emphasized that the price premium associated with co-branded products is usually justified by the value spillover effect - consumers believe that combined products provide more than the sum of their parts. This explains why Starbucks x Spotify or Lego x NASA and other collaborations are well received: they not only provide functional advantages, but also provide symbolic or experience value that consumers think is worth a premium.

3.4 Sensitivity to Cultural Distance

In an increasingly globalized marketplace, consumers have also become more sensitive to cultural distance in co-branding initiatives. Cultural distance refers to the perceived gap between the cultural values or identities of the partnering brands. While cultural diversity can sometimes enhance the novelty of co-branded products, excessive distance may lead to dissonance, reducing credibility and acceptance.
Fan and Han show that when cultural distance is perceived as manageable--such as collaborations between Western luxury brands and Asian popular culture--it can enhance symbolic value by creating hybrid cultural identities [9]. However, when the cultural gap is too big, consumers may think that cooperation is forced or unreal. For example, some attempts by Western fast food chains and traditional Asian food co-brands have been criticized for cultural diversion rather than celebration. This trend highlights the increasing complexity of consumer perception, in which cultural sensitivity has become a decisive factor in evaluating joint brand efforts.

3.5 Diversification of Consumer Preferences

The diversification of consumer preferences marks a key transformation of joint brands. Unlike the early strategies for the mass audience, contemporary consumers expect to provide products that reflect different lifestyles and identities. Co-branding now enables brands to target nihes and subcultures. Yan shows that design is increasingly attracting young people, games or sustainability-oriented consumers. IKEA & Virgil Abloh and other collaborations have attracted design-conscious millennials, while H&M & Balman democratized luxury goods for price-sensitive buyers. By embracing this heterogeneity, co-branding can be both a subdivision tool and a means of broad attraction.

3.6 Emotional Engagement and Symbolic Resonance

Finally, contemporary consumers increasingly interpret joint brands through the perspective of emotional participation and symbolic resonance. Unlike the early era of rational evaluation of quality, modern consumers seek brands that can establish emotional connections and express cultural or personal meanings. This phenomenon is particularly obvious in China's "national trend", in which joint brands emphasize national pride and cultural nostalgia [10]. The success of products such as White Rabbit & Maxam Lip Balm is not due to their excellent functional performance, but because they resonate with consumers by evoking common cultural memories.
This symbolic dimension has also been observed worldwide. For example, the Lego-Harry Potter collaboration creates emotional value by connecting consumers with their childhood beloved narratives. These cases show that emotional and symbolic factors are now at the core of consumers' perception of co-branded products, which shapes short-term enthusiasm and long-term brand loyalty.

4. Challenges and Strategic Suggestions for Brand Co-Branding

4.1 Challenges in Current Co-Branding Practices

Although co-branding is becoming more and more popular, the changing consumer concept has brought new complexity that brands must navigate. On the basis of the

above analysis, five main challenges have emerged:
First of all, as consumer evaluation extends beyond functional adaptability to cultural significance, authenticity and symbolic value, frequent or superficial cooperation may dilute the uniqueness of the brand. Excessive exposure undermines the perceived uniqueness and credibility that modern consumers increasingly need, leading to a decline in long-term equity.
Secondly, consumers now seek exclusivity and personality in co-branded products. However, the surge of partnerships makes it more difficult to achieve true novelty. When cooperation fails to provide unique symbolic or experiential value, consumers will regard it as a duplicate marketing strategy rather than a meaningful innovation.
Third, although consumers recognize the symbol and functional advantages of the joint brand, they will also carefully examine whether the price premium matches the perceived value. Cooperation that emphasizes logo rather than substance is criticized for exploitative pricing, especially when quality improvement or experience enhancement is unclear.
Fourth, global cooperation is increasingly facing the issue of cultural sensitivity. When the cultural identity between partners feels mismatched or instrumental, consumers may interpret this partnership as untrue or culturally appropriate, triggering a backlash rather than participation.
Finally, the digital environment accelerates the exposure of consumers, but also shortens the attention cycle. Although emotional stories and cultural resonance can generate initial enthusiasm, maintaining long-term participation requires continuous innovation. Without it, co-branded products may become short-term “hype” rather than lasting brand assets.

4.2 Strategic Directions for Future Co-Branding

The company will need to reorganize its co-brand strategy to solve the above problems in a way that promotes consumer trust and creates long-term value. A key point will be to strengthen authenticity and cultural relevance. Real cooperation involves shared stories, values or heritage, not superficial logo placement. For example, the popularity of the national trend in China reflects consumers’ appreciation of cultural pride or nostalgia. Similarly, global cases such as Adidas & Gucci show that when the style and tradition of the two brands are consistent, consumers interpret this cooperation as credible and true.
At the same time, digital innovation is a necessary condition for extending the life of co-branded products. With the distraction of consumers, brands can use augmented reality, virtual activities, and interactive activities to plan consumer moments. These products provide excitement at the time of release and space for continued participation.
Another priority is to be consistent with different consumer values. With the division of the market, joint brands cannot only target the mainstream. Cooperation must target subcultures and population niches. Data analysis can discover emerging groups and respond to values from sustainability to youth identity. The case of Stella McCartney & Adidas shows that products that combine ecological awareness can resonate with consumers.
The relationship between price and perceived value is relevant. Co-brand partnerships come with a premium price tag, but consumers decide whether the partnership adds value. Co-branded products must offer incremental value as premiums should create value through design, functionality, or symbolic meaning, so consumers feel they are purchasing something different than simply paying for two logos.
Lastly, governance deserves consideration. Contractual frameworks addressing quality, intellectual property and revenue allocation are important in preventing disputes and reassuring consumers. Methods that bring consumer feedback into partnership management can address problems early and maintain credibility.

4.3 Policy and Market Recommendations

Alongside firm-level strategies, market and policy interventions can support co-branding development. Policymakers can create policies for intellectual property frameworks to protect co-branded activities from imitation and counterfeit. Industry associations might establish recommendations for fair collaboration to limit exploitation or misleading collaboration.
From the market perspective, firms must see a longer-term view. Co-branding needs to be a strategic investment in brand equity, not just a short-term revenue tool. This requires balancing innovation with consistency and establishing collaborations that enhance brand awareness instead of detracting from brand identity.

5. Conclusion

This study reveals that consumer perceptions of co-branding have shifted from a single focus on brand fit to multi-dimensional evaluations encompassing uniqueness, perceived value, cultural resonance, and emotional engagement. Based on case analyses, it identifies major challenges--brand dilution, fragmented attention, cultural authenticity risks, pricing skepticism, and governance issues--that threaten long-term sustainability. To address these challenges, the study proposes strategies emphasizing authenticity, cultural alignment, digital engagement, value-based pricing, and contractual safeguards. Together, these findings offer a framework for developing co-branding strategies that balance innovation with credibility, enabling firms to build lasting consumer trust and brand

ISSN 2959-6130

equity in competitive markets.

References

[1] Ma J, Lee H, Kim S. Brand image fit and consumer evaluation of co-branding. Journal of Business Research, 2018, 92: 40-48.
[2] Garcia R, Chen Y, Wang T. Brand trust and consumer behavior in co-branding strategies. Journal of Marketing Research, 2017, 54(2): 234-249.
[3] Guo Q, Li X, Zhang Y. Co-brand awareness, brand matching and product scarcity: Impacts on perceived value and purchase intention. Journal of Consumer Behavior, 2019, 18(3): 215-229.
[4] Naidoo V, Hollebeek L. Brand alliance and consumer engagement: A relational perspective. International Journal of Market Research, 2016, 58(4): 567-590.
[5] Rafiati F, Nugroho A, Rahman M. Consumer perceptions of brand co-branding: A study of value creation. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 2021, 38(3): 267-278.
[6] Mazodier M, Merunka D. Consumer need for uniqueness in co-branding: The moderating role of brand symbolism. Journal of Business Research, 2014, 67(3): 343-349.
[7] Yan Y. A semiotic analysis of co-branded product design: Targeting youth and subcultural identities. Packaging Engineering, 2020, 41(2): 56-62.
[8] Yang M, Qiao W. The impact of co-branded product attributes on consumer purchase intention: Based on perceived value. Technology Economics, 2023, 42(5): 44-58.
[9] Fan H, Han B. The influence of brand social categorization on purchase intention of Sino-foreign co-branded products. Systems Management Journal, 2024, 33(6): 88-101.
[10] Wang Y. The effect of „Guochao“ brand co-branding on consumers‘ impulsive purchase behavior. Commercial Economic Research, 2025, 15(5): 112-126.